JPRS-TAC-85-046 6 November 1985

Worldwide Report

ARMS CONTROL

JPRS publications contain information primarily from foreign newspapers, periodicals and books, but also from news agency transmissions and broadcasts. Materials from foreign-language sources are translated; those from English-language sources are transcribed or reprinted, with the original phrasing and other characteristics retained.

Headlines, editorial reports, and material enclosed in brackets [] are supplied by JPRS. Processing indicators such as [Text] or [Excerpt] in the first line of each item, or following the last line of a brief, indicate how the original information was processed. Where no processing indicator is given, the information was summarized or extracted.

Unfamiliar names rendered phonetically or transliterated are enclosed in parentheses. Words or names preceded by a question mark and enclosed in parentheses were not clear in the original but have been supplied as appropriate in context. Other unattributed parenthetical notes within the body of an item originate with the source. Times within items are as given by source.

The contents of this publication in no way represent the policies, views or attitudes of the U.S. Government.

PROCUREMENT OF PUBLICATIONS

JPRS publications may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. In ordering, it is recommended that the JPRS number, title, date and author, if applicable, of publication be cited.

Current JPRS publications are announced in Government Reports Announcements issued semi-monthly by the National Technical Information Service, and are listed in the Monthly Catalog of U.S. Government Publications issued by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Correspondence pertaining to matters other than procurement may be addressed to Joint Publications Research Service, 1000 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22201.

WORLDWIDE REPORT

ARMS CONTROL

CONTENTS

SDI AND SPACE ARMS

TASS A	(Various sources, 10, 11 Oct 85)	
	'Provocative' Speech 'Dangerous Challenge', by Vladislav Kozyakov Reagon 'Exempt' From Soviet Criticism	
Moscow	TV on U.S. Response to Gorbachev, ABM Treaty (Spartak Beglov; Moscow Television Service, 1) Oct 85)	
Moscow	Assails Administration Interpretation of ABM Treaty (Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA, 18 Oct 85; Moscow IZVESTIYA, 21 Oct 85)	
	'Distortion' of Treaty, by V. Chernyshev 'Violence to Principles, Common Sense', by Valentin Falin	
USSR A	my Paper on U.S. Booklet on Soviet SDI (V. Chernyshev; Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA, 9 Oct 85)	1
TASS:	UN General Assembly Attendees Support 'Star Peace' (Moscow TASS, 5 Oct 85)	1
More on	NATO Assembly Discussion of SDI (Moscow World Service, 11, 14 Oct 85)	14
	Europeans 'Alarmed' U.S. Seeks Support	10
	Scientists Discuss Space Defense Arguments (Vladimir Posner, et al.; Moscow in English to North America, 12 Oct 85)	16
	Reports on U.S. SDI Technical Developments (Various sources, various dates)	
	Possible Launch of Nuclear Reactor	
	Space Shuttle's Role	3.3

'Space Cannon' Military Shuttle Mission	23 24
Moscow Paper Comments on SDI Experiments, Purposes (Mikhail Stoyanov; Moscow MOSKOVSKAYA PRAVDA, 28 Jun 85)	25
PRAVDA on U.S. Concern Over West European Public Opinion (Vladimir Mikhaylov; Moscow PRAVDA, 20 Oct 85)	29
Soviet Army Paper Assails FRG 'Pretensions' (A. Yevgen'yev; Moscow KRASNAYA ZVEZDA, 28 Jun 85)	33
Further Comments on Prospect of Japanese SDI Participation (Moscow PRAVDA, 28 Sep 85; Moscow TASS, 4, 22 Oct 85)	34
'Dubious Honor', by B. Barakhta	34
'Outstrips Allies'	35
Demands Accord on Secrets	36
USSE Space Institute Director Interviewed on SDI (Vienna NEUE AZ, 19/20 Oct 85)	37
Briefs Scientists Colloquium Denounces SDI	38
U.SUSSR GENEVA TALKS	
USSR: Connection Between Space, Offensive Arms Cuts Stressed (Igor Simitsyn; Moscow APN DAILY REVIEW, 14 Oct 85)	39
NUCLEAR-FREE-ZONE PROPOSALS	
Finnish Paper: USS Iowa in Baltic Points Up 'Zone' Problem (Helsinki HELSINGIN SANGMAT, 28 Sep 85)	41
NUCLEAR TESTING	
TASS on Continued Testing by U.S. (Moscow, TASS, 10, 17 Oct 85)	43
Energy Department Spokesman Cited	43
Debate in U.S. Noted	43
Arguments for, Against Moratorium	45
Soviet Seismologists Contend U.S. Can Check USSR Nuclear Tests (Moscow TASS, 11 Oct 85)	46
Moscow Paper Cites FRG Experts: Seismic Monitoring Reliable (Vladimir Markov: Moscow MOSCOW NEWS, No 34, 1-8 Sep 85) .	47

GENERAL

CPSU D	raft Party Program: Armed Forces, Arms Control	
	(Moscow PRAVDA, 26 Oct 85)	49
USSR:	Shevardnadze UN Jubilee Speech, Gorbachev Message	
	(Moscow PRAVDA, 25 Oct 85)	5.3
	Shevardnadze Speech	53
	Corbachev Message	57
Briefs		
	'Formal' Soviet Arms Proposal	39

TASS ASSAILS WEINBERGER 9 OCT FPEECH ON SOI

'Provocative' Speech

LD101526 Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1305 CMT 10 Oct 85

[Text] Washington, 10 October (TASS) -- The new peace-loving Soviet Initiatives which were expounded by M.S. Gorbachev in the course of his trip to France, are continuing to attract universal interest; first and foremost, in Western Europe. According to an official representative of the Belgian Government, they will be the main question on the agenda at the extraordinary session of the NATO Council at foreign minister level which will take place in Brussels on 15 October.

French President F. Mitterrand is today meeting FRG Chancellor H. Kohl to discuss them. P. Nitze, an adviser of the U.S. president and of the secretary of state on arms reduction talks, is travelling around the capitals of Western Europe with the U.S. interpretation of these proposals. He spoke in Brussels before the ambassadors of the NATO member countries, then held a news conference at which he reported that the U.S. administration is not yet ready to give "a full public response" to the Soviet proposals and that Secretary of State G. Shultz would inform the U.S. allies about Washington's reaction next week. Nitze has now arrived in Bonn, where he will meet the FRG chancellor. It is in this way that discussions are taking place in Western Europe in a search for ways to establish a constructive dialogue between East and West, a dialogue which would lead to the curbing of the arms race and to an improvement of the international climate.

This clearly does not please U.S. militaristic circles, who do not want to reckon with new realities and who are now making feverish attempts to prevent an improvement [sdvig] in limiting the arms race. It is in this light that observers assess the provocative speech made in Washington's National Press Club by one of the chief apologists of the U.S. military-industrial complex, Secretary of Defense C. Weinberger. His speech was advertised before hand as a "programmatic" explanation of U.S. strategy for the 90's. In actual fact, the speech contains nothing new--just the same old stories about an imaginery "Soviet military threat" and the same old demands for an increase in military spending, for activating research within the framework of the so-called "Strategic Defense Initiative" (SDI), i.e. "star wars," and for pursuing the notorious "from a position of strength" policy.

Weinberger stated that L.S. military strategy for the 90°s should rest on three "pillars": "The Strategic Defense Initiative" and the potential of "restraint"; increasing the might and use of U.S. conventional armed forces; and the strategy of reducing weapons and establishing control over them. He emphasized that the aim of this strategy is to ensure the notorious "peace through strength," that is, the achievement by the United States of military superiority and the pursuance of a policy of diktat on the international arena. He confirmed the well-known pretensions of the United States to world dominion, including such pretentions to almost half the world in the sphere of the motorious "U.S. national interests." In doing so, he has made a clear claim for the use of military force in order to assert these interests, emphasizing that the United States should not only "preserve freedom for itself and its allies," contribute toward "a larger and larger number of the world's states' gaining freedom." This statement becomes especially ominous if one bears in mind that this formula was used by Washington in order to justify such an act of blatant international piracy as its unprovoked armed aggression against the nonaligned country of Grenada.

In arguing for a buildup of U.S. "military muscle," Weinberger endeavored to call into question the whole of the previously elaborated Soviet-American treaty system regulating the quantitative and qualitative balance of strategic nuclear forces of the USSR and the United States; launching attacks on the Soviet-American SALT I and SALT II treaties.

Striving to clear the path for the uncontrolled militarization of space, howent further than officials in the U.S. administration, who, for the same purpose, attempt to "interpret" the antiballistic missile defense treaty in such a way as to claim that is supposedly permits the development [razrabotka] and testing of components for space arms systems. All the indications are that the Pentagon boss is pushing matters toward the point of excising "at the root" this agreement, which is one of the most fundamental between the two countries in the field of arms control. "We must consider the possibility of a real break with the ABM defense treaty," he stated directly.

While speaking in favor of arms control, the master of the Pentagon was, at the same time, pushing for an uncompromising, hard-line U.S. position in Geneva. Speculating on the feelings of those Americans who are demanding effective measures to fewilings of those Americans who are demanding effective measures to limit the arms race, Weinberger hypocritically said that the arms build-up is allegedly almost the most promising means of achieving progress on this path; that it is U.S. might which serves as an "urgent incentive" for the USSR to conclude an agreement in Geneva. "We must not only conduct talks from a position of strength," he declared. "It is the only way to conduct effective negotiations."

Mr Weinberger knows very well that speaking the language of diktat to the Soviet Union is an activity with no prospects. If he continues to do this, it can only mean one thing: Those circles standing behind him do not wish for any progress at Geneva. They are seeking to wreck the possibility of curbing by treaty the nuclear arms race which threatend all mankind.

Commenting on Weinberger's address, UPI notes that he left no doubt as to his intention to continue seeking major increases in U.S. military spending. "Weinberger's speech was, in essence, a reiteration of his earlier political declarations," THE NEW YORK TIMES notes.

'Dangerous Challenge'

L0111638 Moscow in English to North America 0001 CMT 11 Oct 85

[Vladislav Kozyakov commentary]

[Text] U.S. Defense Scrittary Weinberger in a speech to the National Press Club on Wednesday [9 Occober] once again advocated the development of space strike weapons (?in) the Unites States. Our observer Vladislav Kozyakov comments:

Actually the chief of the Pentago, spoke in favor of further developing both nuclear and space weapons. He said that the [words indistinct] rearmanent program started in 1981 and the Strategic Defense Initiative known as the star wars project represent a new defects strategy for the 1990's. According to Caspar Weinberger, star wars should be a far safer deterrent than the mutual Faicide pact we have pledged, which is now our only way to keep peace. In other words, to develop only strategic nuclear weapons as the previous administrations did means to pursue an absolute strategy while to further build-up nuclear weapons and to simultaneously develop space strike scapons as the present administration does mean to adhere to a new defense strategy. Such is the logic of the United States secretary of defense. Mr Weinberger has probably forgotten that the present United States Administration agreed with the Soviet Union last January that the major goal of the current Soviet-American talks in Geneva would be to prevent the arms race in outer space and to end it on earth. It is in full accordance with this understanding that the Soviet Union has recently advanced new far-reaching initiatives. One of them provides for a 50 percent reduction by the Soviet Union and the United States of their strategic nuclear arsenals and nonmilitarization of outer space. Another Soviet initiative provides for a reduction of medium-range nuclear weapons in Europe. The Soviet Union on its part has recently cut its medium-range missiles in Europe to a lower level than it had 10 or even 15 years ago.

Against this background, the new strategy of the United States for the 1990's, Caspar Weinberger spoke about in his speech in the National Press Club, looks as a dangerous challenge. For a decade ahead, Washington is mapping out plans to build new, sophisticated nuclear weapons and to develop space strike weapons. The realization of the plans would make nuclear arms control problems even more complicated and agreements less possible. It is not surprising that the chief of the Pentagon called the latest Soviet proposals quite unsatisfactory. The military-industrial complex he represents in the administration is not prepared to outlaw space strike weapons and to cut nuclear arsenals by 50 percent as Moscow suggests. But what is good for the United States military-industrial complex is not good either for the American nation as a whole or for universal peace.

LD101834 Belgrade TAXJUG in English 1959 CMT 10 Not 45

[Text] Moscow, 10 October (TAXITO) -- The Soviet (nion publicly voices discontent over the way in which official washington reacts and interprets Gorbitchev's recent proposals on the reduction of existing armament and other proposals in this connection.

But Soviet commentators in the press and the agency TASS exempt President Beagan from criticism, which is a certain fresh sign. They, however, sharply criticize other U.S. officials, especially Defence Secretary Weinberger.

In a TASS commentary this afternoon, weinberger's speech at the National Club in Washington was said to have been "provocative" but this "should not be surprising" because "he is one of the main advocates of the U.S. military-industrial complex."

Moscow reproaches the Pentagon chief for repeating the phrases about "Soviet military threat," for seeing the American military strategy in the further co-hancing of the arms race, and, what is most important, for attempting to talk with Soviet Union "from the position of force."

TASS said this was a "futile attempt" that may mean but one thing: quarter: represented by Weinberger are opposed to progress at the Geneva negotiations.

CSO: 5200/1058

SDI AND SPACE ARTS

MOSCOW TV ON U.S. RESPONSE TO CORRACHEV, ABM TREATY

LD132057 Moscow Television Service in Russian 1500 CMT 13 Oct 85

[From the "International Panorana" program presented by Spartak Beglov]

[Excerpts] Our country has gone its part of the way to open up broad possibilities for constructive decisions to be made at the roming Geneva summit.

However, is Washington prepared to go its part of the way to meet the Soviet proposals? That is another question to which the world is now seeking an answer.

To be fair, it should be said that the head of the U.S. Administration, perhaps for the first time in the whole history of his presidency, did not venture to reject the Soviet proposals outright and stated that the United States would pay attention to them and would study them. It is, of course, well understood that the scale, depth, and specific content of the Soviet initiatives have received such an attentive response everywhere that to brush them aside would amount to flouting the whole world public.

The American side, however, is stubbornly giving the impression that Article of the Anti-Ballistics Missile Defense Treaty, which prohibits the creation, testing and deployment of ABM systems and components based in the air, in space, or ground-mobilized, somehow does not exist as far as they are concerned. Mr Heinberger rather cynically spoke out in a tone which other politicians in the United States have not up to now brought themselves to speak: We should examinate possibility of a real break with the ABM treaty.

The real reason for such candor was revealed by the Pentagon chief himself. The new U.S. Strategy for the 90°s, which he had just proclaimed, is a new acceleration of the same old arms race. The Soviet peace program has become an obstruction along this road. These are the real reasons why the so-called "proponents" of a hard lime in the U.S. Defense Department, and in the president's circle itself, gave such a hostile reception to the USSR's proposal for a 30 percent reduction in both sides' strategic arsenals. And, you know, in this, together with the proposal on the nonmilitarization of outer space, lies the key to a way out of the vicious circle of the arms race.

The sort of counter-position which Washington has adopted for all the world to see is a manifestation of the very militarization of political conscience which Comrade Gorbachev condemned in one of his speeches, when he urged Washington to reshape the way it thinks and acts from a military to a peaceful outlook.

SHI AND SPACE ARES

MINITE ASSAULS ADMINISTRATION INTERPRETATION OF ARM TREATS

'Distortion' of Treaty

PYINITIA Mise on ARASSANA INTIDA in Russian In Set 64 Second Hilliam p 1

[Artille by Candidate of Johnstel Stienes Reserve "since! V. Chernyshev: "Criminal Actions; Washington Tries to Distrey the ABM Treat."

Test The Soviet-American Treaty on the Limitation of AAM Systems, and landed in Nas 1972, has now been in effect for more than 1) years and is now of the formittions on which the aiden' relations are built. Its migning denoted recognition by the Soviet Union and the United States of the objective intertended in hetween offensive and defensive evaters and of the dangerous role which stiemets in relate a large-scale ABM system would play in providing an arms rate. The greathle to the treaty clearly states that "effective measures to limit ABM systems would be a substantial factor in surfing the arms rate and until leaf to a decrease in the risk of outbreak of war involving nuclear was to a

Its remrission also marked resignation of the fact that only mutual restraint in the ophers of AMM dystems can make possible progress along the path of Limiting and reducing muclear arms. Thus, the ABM Treats, according to the design of the sides which signed it, is meant to fulfill two extremely important functions: first, to be a sind of brake and a restraining factor on the arms rank and, second, to serve as the principal foundation and hash for the whole process of limiting and reducing arms.

During the validation of the ABM Treaty, the USSB and the United States have twice—in 1977 and 1982—examined it jointly, we emphasize jointly, and have agreed amanimously that it continues to meet their interests, operates effectively, and does not require changes or amendments. Essentially, this assessment of the treaty to both sides has confirmed over and over again that the interpossection between offensive and defensive arms is of a lasting nature, regardless of the technical level that their development has reached.

But in March 1983 the United States proclaimed the so-valled "Strategi: Infense Initiative" and began intensive work on the "star wars" program—a program for the creation [engdantye] of a large-scale ABM system with space-based elements and the development [razrabotka] of space attike arms. It is quite natural

fur this important comment provides the devicement of AMS system in the interests of defending the entire territory of the believe that is, large-scale AMS systems and the parties better the large defends.

At first the U.S. leadership "systemtial" [tam] | with [alter 1 out the Easter States was fast symmetries "research," while the lambitude suppressive does not prevent, Later, however, the previous of identification and scientific and taulishments become the "cranged" (or this "supplies towards. There are an ever greater need to perfect and test second to a constitution of protetypes of space strike arms and their second to a constitution of the protetypes of space strike arms and their second to a constitution of the protetypes and space strike arms and their second to a constitution of the protetypes and space strike arms and their second to a constitution of the protetypes and the second to be a constitution of the protetypes and the constitution of the

The wait of work assumed when I refuse that it has the work that it has a program "best the trans." In Tairing the time the desired that the Admittent to the U.S. rows dent for national benefity attains, by larger that the Admittents "expections and appropra" that which are "as insidents of part of the development (ragrahetas) of western. Assuration in the time the tairing at the treaty "permits" the tention and resting in antimized or an inside the mission that is, such "emption" antimized to be the an inside the mission, that is, such "emption" antimized to be the series of the first in the first order of antimized to mission, and forth.

Thus, we see here an attempt to local. Dietory the powers of the ANY Trust and to justify Washington's entifyed to action to become if a "new interpretor time" of it. For Article to y the ANY Trust years under mediannely orbitally to creation [sopposed] and testing of ANY systems of interprets which are opposed hazed. The claims that the trusty's oppositions used under the local ANY systems and programmes which existed at the line if was record aty designed to make testing uninformed members.

In actual fact, the treaty's provisions—and and contalists has confire dispenapple to any systems designed, as defined to article if, in committ distill hallistic massies of their elements in flight tribulations.

Since the ABM components being treated economical within the framework of the "star wars program-including later and beam wenced, and so furtherary designed for previously this purpose, that is, they are most in replace introducement.

the antimissile missiles mentioned in the treate, then all the freaty of - visions apply in full to them. And, above a), the first the first to section, and deployment of spaye-based ADD content of

As for the references to the agreed statement, but T we see a clatent requiring of facts. The said statement really does not reduced the resolvent's the sides' acquiring actimisable means "hased on different constraints and all facts to said the framework of the restrictions are insent to the Ifeaty be awarded, that is, for each side none estimated real or the side is permitted to have just a limited ABM system in one region—the spirit or on 128M here—the "Strategia Defense Initiative" is a territorial because a global section. The area producted by the treats, Consequently, the realist section, and deployment for it of laser, beam, and other initiative or the first of the first of the first or different physical principles" is a time to other the first of the first.

It was not for mothing that THE NEW TIME TYPES AND TO JO JOHN ALL STREET AND ALL

Supporting also is also enterorable. The property of the interior of the control of the legal interpretation of the control of

what tasks have those persons in the administrations of the product of the desired made both by trevious administrations and to the product of the desired made for the product of th

All this must admittedly arross and from the arrow on the first in order along specialists and equipment, including in the didn't the third from the next subscribed. As experts of special term limitation and former head of the C.S. delegation at the desired-bery as false or affategularms limitation (SALT II, de paret recently, a "new touchest amount mass the Admittent a "dead letter" and trusts must as I in a distributed and the Admittent agreements in the arms confrom where all the distributed in the arms confrom where all the arms in the arms confrom where the arms in the arms confrom where the arms in the ar

D. Fascell, chairman of the four life of Affairs Committee of the U.S. Comgress, condemned the "new interpretation" of the freaty as "not inspiring confidence." He emphasized that so is decision will have "serious and far-reaching consequences" and will "jeopartize arms control."

It is possible to agree fully with this opinion. Indeed, how would it be possible to speak at limitations and reductions in nuclear arms if the United States has, in point of fact, depended the thief existing agreement in the sphere of limiting the arms tage. The transfer of the arms race into space, the Soviet Union has declared repeated in a most authoritative level, would make the reduction of nuclear arsenals objectively impossible.

the Soviet Union for improving the innectually explosive international situation and fear the very possibility of this program's realization. The "new interpretation" of the ABM Treaty has been thought up by those "strategists" in the U.S. administration who are seeking to abolish all measures in the sphere of limiting and reducing arms and to preserve tension in the world and who wish to lead the Geneva talks or nuclear and share arms into an impasse and to secure the failure of the Soviet-American summit meeting.

'Yislen's in Trimilles, Common Symme'

PMINIST Moscow IIVESTIYA in Russian II h. t 65 Morning Edition y 5

Article by Valentin Falin under the rubric "Political Observer's Opinion"; "Fretexts, Slander, Treaties"

Text R. McFarlane, the U.S. Steadent's national security aide, was originally a Marine. A delicate attitude (ward the norms of international law is not his province. And he has been resigned to watch over not legality, but "L.S. interests" which are by no means the same thing. The latter, as they will not fail to observe in the articles and paragraphs of convention.

Repeating yourself tiresomely, from the wright out of it, and arguing that the United States respects the law the much benot for others and too much trouble for you. There is a simpler want to say there are no immutable shipsettimes. And that's that, to elemnal ements and no eternal friends, only imperial interests are eternal. The notations was ery of the British Tories in adapted to the washington manner.

Violence to principles and remon sense is perpetrated with such cynicism that even hardened bourgeois "demorats" are stackering. Not surprisingly. They are being treated like pawns with no symion of their was, no dignity, and no self-estwem. Some grow tougher sains is a result of this treatment. But there are also those whose skins become thinner and their outrage seeks an outlet.

A. Mafarlane's recent speech was the last straw for many people in the West.
For 13 years the Treaty on the Limitation of ASM Aystems has been interpreted
in the way it was formulated. The resting streaming, testing, and deployment

is BANNED [uppercase word published in boldface]. Under the 1972 treaty, the USSR and the United States undertook, further, not to give other systems and technical devices, apart from those specifically designated, the capability to counter strategic missiles and their elements at all stages of their flight. Both powers renounced the emplacement of ABM systems beyond their own national territories. We one's national sovereignts extended into space. Consequently, a marrier was erected to the strike armaments rack in near-earth and outer space.

For 13 years, the world failed to realize it was reading by syllables, without perceiving the main thing. The Reagan administration Itself was misled for a years. Bars in 1984, it officially stated: The han ematrined in the ABM Treaty on the creation, testing, and deployment of space-hased ABM systems or imponents of such systems applies to systems utilizing a directed particle beam and any other technology used for this purpose. Among themselves, it is true, the Americans argued about what constitutes "research" and how experiments to satisfy scientific curiosity comply with testing, for use by increasingly aggressive doctrines, models of new weapons or individual elements of such weapons exceeding the treaty's framewore.

It turns out there was no point in their arguing, it was in vain that they surfied their fantasy and their appetites. McFarlane lit up U.S. television a reems and declared that the 1972 treaty "sanctions and authorizes" the deployment and testing of ABM systems in space. So that's it. You can't fire at an approaching missile from a bunting rifle. Strictly speaking, a rifle symbol under the term "mobile" and "re bargable" system. But as soom as it's a case of a symbol satellite, then everything's case if previously unused "physical principles" are employed to strike the missiles.

It's all very simple. According to McFarlane's logic, limitations under the 1977 treaty are restricted to traditional systems, while a new agreement must be reached on unionventional systems. In other words, although the ABM Treaty is af unlimited duration, it must be admitted that it has had its day and we must think about "updated" concepts for the future. Actually, the Soviet side is not advised to think. It is being palmed off with Reagan's "Strategic Defense Initiative." But what if the listIngon prefers, as is its wont, to have its own opinion. Then they will set about slandering its position and blame it for the fact that there will be no agreements at all. As though the Inited States concludes agreements out of kindness.

From childhood every Russian is familiar with the funny story how the keen-witted soldier rooked gruei from an ax. In the broth McFarlane is concecting, the function of the ax is performed by "point I" of the agreed statement accompanying the ABM Treaty. This point stipulates that in the event of the future creation [suzdanive] of weapons systems based on different physical principles and containing components which could modify antimissiles in particular, corresponding discussions and agreements should take place between the parties. Naturally, this was written in so that scientific and technical discoveries would not harm the basic idea of the treaty, which is designed to prevent the arms race in one more very sensitive sphere. Given a distorted approach, however, everything, including axioms, can be turned upside down.

The soldier took an ar for his breakfast, but he cooked a natural product. Washington's skilled workers are taking half-phrases out of the context of the 1972 treaty to undertake an act of subversion against international peace and cooperation—an act which, like all other sets of samplage of U.S. origin, is presented as manna from heaven.

In its 21 October issue NEWSWEIK notes that the overthrow of the legal view-point of three previous administrations and the former interpretation of the treaty provided by Reagan himself was the result of a "slip of the tompus." It can happen to anyone. "What was left for the president—to dissociate himself from his national security aide?" The magazine was told by a White-House spokesman. Understandably, it was easier to repudiate the treaty. According to NEWSWEIK, the "slip of the tongue" was turned into policy, into a lever for pressurizing adversaries and allies in NATO.

If this is improvisation, then it is carefully prepared. If there was a "slip of the tongue" then perhaps it was mistimed, but useful. Let's recall what Roagan and McFarlane said in late December 1984 and early January 1985 about the motives which prompted Washington to embark on the ABM Treaty. In their interpretation, Americans at that time were guided by sheer expedience. At the technical development level of the seventies it was cheaper to live with the treaty. Lofty words about peace and the solution of the questions which arise in a spirit of consensus, in the view of the present U.S. rulers, bore no weight, bear no weight now, and do not affect the material part of the treaty. They are like a toast at a banquet. The main thing is not principles, but technology. It has made headway since then and it is time to consider whether it is not more advantageous to eliminate the ABM limitations. Thin cannot be ascertained without tests and it is inconcelvable to conduct tests without violating the treaty. The conclusion is obvious-the U.S. treaty heritage must be revised, proceeding from the President's "democratic" convictions and inspiration.

For those who are unable to make the efloyt to remember, we can state that as recently as 15 October R. Reagan repeated: "The idea of using technical and technological successes in America to create a system for our defense against nuclear missiles is morally justified." According to him, the "moral" imperative rates higher than legal commitments especially because "our (American) prime efforts and funds are being used in an attempt to create new equipment and technology whose aim is to save human lives"; in contrast to the "anti-American" (the President's term) strategy to which the United States adhered in the past and to which it adheres to day and which "creates a threat to the lives of totally imnocent people." Also, expatiations on the need to bring principles into "harmony" with technology are constantly harmoring home the same point—the former treaties are defective and unnecessary. The peoples will lose nothing if the United States cancels them.

But are international agreements necessary at all? Do the Americans need agreements, especially now that the U.S. military potential is undergoing an unprecedented upsurge? The extreme conservatives are categorical—they are unnecessary and harmful. Their calculations do not include even the most minimal rapprochement of the sides' positions or even a clearing of the air in relations between West and East.

Let's listen to C. meinberger. He spoke at the same time as Mifarlame and their naile a slip of the tongue, but read perfective of a prepared feet.

"America's interests are not engraved in stone anywhere," the defense secretary sits. "We must never be tempted to define the range of our vitality important interests will sometimes depend on the irrumstances of a specific case, including trends and the interest important interests will sametimes depend on the interest in situation. The need to win requires clearly defined sines and irrums and results on america's part.

The form in which this is set forth is somewhat ornate, but the content is evident even to the maked ever "Strategy in the delense field," it was this strategy which was the subject of Weinberger's speech, is geared to "victory" with the trates must not engreach in advance on the freedom of white of the reason of a lieving "victory" at any time and anywhere in the world. It is now ensured to act according to direcumstances than to seep to treatice, intil the skies descent on the earth and the oceans evaluate up the continents.

into. "We must examine the possibility of a real breach of the ARM Treaty," and will at beating about the bush or grims inc.

The are examining this possibility, but yet again, their "ungrateful" allow and ir, ends have failed to understand or value the impulse of Bragan are love who think like him. They assert that some officials within the administrative itsief have been wondering whether the time has not compromise has been interesting. As a result a "compromise" has been to be again. The possibility has been to be a president him analised in the result. The president has an analised in the president has decided that the United States in the arrect one. But the president has decided that the United States in remain within the present framework of the treaty, within the Tramework its framework in accordance with which there is no need to use the appended version to achieve our sime."

Wistians reflected "a new and important understanding of how the treaty is a factively interpreted." The aids was in a bit of a burry and anticipated the resident and the secretary of state, revealing a position which was being a position of the considered to be more correct. The United States is voluntarily limiting itself in the expectation that the public will be once accustomed to the worst that is to befall it.

repular window has it that you learn from every setback. Obviously not every setback, and it does not apply to everyone. Now many or assume and reasons manifest many has had to see sense! On the hasis of its now errors and other nearle's, but it does not want to. It does not want to consider the fact that there people's interests, no less important formidable that U.S. interests, exist, and that these interests are guarded in which has formidable that I.S. weapons. As for the resolve to defend freedom and homor, here too the trerirons do not have a monopoly. It is not write being deluded. In general, if a person is obstinate that does not mean be is strong.

FOI AND SPACE ARS

TSSE ARM PAPER IN ... BYELD IN BUILT HE

PMOSTIDE MONTON KRASTATA ITLINA IN SUNCTION (DE 1) PARENT CULTURE

[Commentary by TASE malifaty observer T. Chernyshevi "A Turbid Missan In - Washington"]

Text | The more gractical steps and initiatives are undertaken about the Soviet Union with a view to creating a favorable attractor attainment of positive accords at the approaching stainment of positive accords at the approaching staining stainment of positive accords at the approaching staining stainment being and the stronger the united that attaining stainment of the latest states. The approach the approach to a stain at the same time and laying claim to being "staintiff" sursees the analysis of the ISSE's was efforts and it to same time "with another than administration's place and decay, will at

A pamphlet entitled "Seviet Fregrams in the Strategic Defense from published, prepared mint; the Tentages and the L.S. Distribution is a glossy cover. If pages of text, distribut, and fracings. It leads the outside, but as soon as you start to read this and may for a "alled." It is jump out at you from every may. The only truthful element is distribution to desire in any event to read a strate and a strike arms and its "star wars" program into profits.

Take, for instance, the foreword to it, amplier, signed by before the consideration of State 1. White. The strawell before the constant of State 1. White forest answer, reaction. I soviet Union's implementation of Large-scale efforts in the ARM and of the ARM and of the constant of the

The Soviet Union, as has been stated repeatedly at the most authoritative level, is not creating space strike weapons or an AB* defense of the country's territory, and has never tried to appropriate to itself the American "claim" in the invention of "star wars." On the contrary, it consistently and firmly adversage the prevention of any steps to militarize space, and ralls on the United states to make this law, on a treaty basis.

The pamphlet's authors go so far as to say that "the ISSR may be preparing as AMS system for the entire mational territory," and that the American "star wars" should lead to the annihilation of nuclear weapons, while the mythical Soviet work... "constitutes a scrious threat to the West." There is truly no limit to the cynicism and lies.

Other phrases from the authors of the fabrication are also surprising, to put it mildly. They state that the SDI is a reaction "to the deployment by the Russians of a system permitted under the ABM Treaty." How can any reasonable individual claim that the creation [sozdanive] in the United States of space strike arms, which are banned by the ABM Treaty, is an "expedient and neversiary response" to what is permitted by that treaty? And what is the value of the claim that SDI is a factor for "preventing the Russians from deciding to build up their potential in the ABM sphere"? It sppears that intensive U.S., were on the "star wars" program must be regarded as a factor helping to restrain the arms race. A more absurd "logic" could hardly be imagined.

However, the main conclusion drawn by Weinberger and Shultz puts everything in its place: It is necessary, they write, for the United States to earry out the modernization of American offensive nuclear forces and develop [razrabatyvut] a reliable ABM system. That was where the authors of the pamphlet wanted to lead the reader.

The other aim is to shift onto the Soviet Union everything for which the world public has long been criticizing the U.S. Administration. So they declare that the USSR is carrying out "wide research" with a view to creating [sozdaniye] laser and bean weapons, that the world's only operational antisatellite weapon system belongs to the USSR, that the USSR is violating the ABM Treaty, and we forth.

Yet the whole world knows that these are America's sins. It is the United States which is carrying out not only "research," but also tests of laser and beam weapons. It is the United States which is carrying out the development [rarrabotka] and testing in space of second-generation antisatellite weapons. It is the United States which is violating the AMB Treaty by setting Itself the goal of creating [sozdaniye] a large-scale AMB system with space-based elements, carrying out work on the creation [sozdaniye] of mobile ABM radar systems, testing Minuteman missiles in order to give them antimissile capabilities, and carrying out the other programs.

All this indicates that with the direct participation of the State Department, the Pentagon is working toward the dismantling of existing international agreements which "hamper" Washington's militarist course and seeking, with the help of space strike arms, to secure military-strategic superiority over the Soviet Union, at the same time trying to mislead the world public by "juntifying" its actions with flagrant slander against the USSE.

TASS: UN GENERAL ASSEMBLY ATTENDEES SUPPORT 'STAR PEACE'

LD051602 Moscow TASS in English 1035 CM 5 Oct 85

[Text] New York, 5 October, TASS-By TASS correspondent Vyacheslav Charmahay,

The "star peace" concept, advanced by the Soviet Inion to sunter the sinister "star wars" plans, evokes broad support of the world dominity. Partitionity in the general political debate currently under way at the ofth session of the US General Assembly point out that outer space will serve to improve the lift of all of mankind only if all chamnels for its militarization be separely closed.

The Soviet proposals acquire particular importance in the light of the threat to mankind which emanates from the plans to spread the arms rate into making which emanates from the plans to spread the arms rate into militarity." space concealed behind the face signhoard of "Strategis before Initiative," said Vietnamese Government Minister Vo Dong Diane. That is when the Service foreign policy initiatives greatly contribute to implementing the transfer task of our time—that of ending the arms race on earth and keeping it away from outer space, and to strengthening universal peace and security.

The Ethiopian foreign minister, Cosbu Wolde, drew attention to imperially of striving to achieve military superigrity which threatens mankind with an all-out nuclear datastrophe. Of particular danger is the notorious "stratucion defence initiative" the true character of which is being masked in all ways by its authors.

The threat of spreading the arms race into outer space gives souse for a proto all people, said Guinea's Foreign Misister Facine Tours. That is why the United Nations has no right to play a secondary part no the matter, it ought to fully exercise its possibilities in the field of disarraneous.

The chief question today is now to stop the mounting arms race, prevent its spread into outer space and start reducing weapons of mans annihilation that have been accumulated, said V.A. Kravets, foreign minister of the Soviet Ukraine. The Soviet Union repeatedly stressed that on matters of restricting and reducing any type of armaments it will go as far as its partners in the table will be prepared to go. It is inadmissible that mankind should be threatened with death from outer space at the beginning of the space age. Duter space should serve peaceful constructive purposes.

CSO: 5200/1058

MORE ON NATO ASSEMBLY DISCUSSION OF SDI

Europeans 'Alarmed'

LD121306 Moscow World Service in English 2000 CMT 11 Oct 85

[Station commentary]

Text] The British delegate to the NATO assembly in San Francisco has submitted a report criticizing the American star wars program. The main conclusion that can be drawn from the report of John Cartwright, a member of Parliament, is that European countries are alarmed by U.S. military plans for outer space. Western Europe feels the report stresses, that Washington's reluctance to renounce the plans is blocking progress at the Geneva talks on space and nuclear armaments. The American delegation to the assembly should have paid special attention to the Cartwright report on the eve of the conference of the United States and its allies, held in view of the Geneva summit. If the delegate of Britain, that is considered one of the first supporters of the American star wars program, criticized it, there is every reason to think that other countries have much greater misgivings about the program.

These fears are increased by the fact that Washington has to respond to the new peace initiatives of the Soviet Union. During his visit to Paris, Mikhail Gorbachev suggested that the Soviet Union and the United States agree on radical cuts in their nuclear forces in order to ham nuclear strike weapons for both sides simultaneously. Western Europe has realized that the future of the entire process of limiting the arms roce, which includes key issues of European security, depends on the response to the Soviet initiatives. With the apparent purpose of pressuring the ailies, Caspar Weinberger made a provocative speech at the Washington Press Club, simultaneously with the beginning of the NATO assembly. He spoke for stepping up work on the star wars program and building up the United States arsemals of nuclear and conventional weapons. He also said the American side did not rule out the possibility of breaking off the antiballistic missile treaty. Many West European allies felt a challenge to their approach, which they made known to Washington immediately after Mikhail Gorbachev's visit to Paris.

The assistant U.S. defense secretary, Richard Perle, has admitted that several European NATO countries said it would be a mistake to disregard Soviet proposals as not requiring analysis. The same circles, he said, were alarmed by the absence of U.S. counterproposals which analy revive charges that Washington did not take the arms control talks seriously. Disregard for this opinion of NATO allies apparently causes increasing anxiety and John Cartwright reflected it in his report which drew the attention of the participants in the San Francisco Assembly.

and the same of the

A Secretary of the Control of the Co

The Let W. Settre the annual newting of parliamentarians. The Let W. San Francisco is the American star wars program.

If it is to be of the afteriting given to the plans to put weapons in the life to use the SATO Assembly in the public has shown much interest the beginning of the same that all all had strategic weapons, and these proposals into the same time the same time are growing that it is estern fur pe and in the United States itself and Canada and at the same if the arms race were moved into space.

inst, labort World in a normal of that the United States would no longer be matrained by the 1977 Anti-Hallistic Missile Treaty. The announcement states the public on both sides of the Atlantic. In the opinion of THE NEW 1977, it is not only intended to subotage next month's American-Soviet samuit, but has to open more amittinus goal; to remove all constraints on the light arms than.

The malroar of the mouse Poreign Affairs Committee of the C.S. Congress. mate fits yell, fully the the short many had experienced at this when he said "ITer and's statement was a mow that teopardized arms control and cleared the ter of arms rate in space. Former Ambassador Gerard Smith, chief megotia-T. A. Of the PTT Will Trusty, Accused the administration of harpooning it, and his limmer colleagues on the team charged that the administration had disthat the (negetiations record). The Maswell magazine quoted a European diglimat's warning that the f.S. sesition might leave its allies more skittish I we'r about barking the oter wars program. Such a reaction could not but off in I the NATO Assemble by Man Francisco. The French news agency reports 1531 the new inter-relation by the U.S. administration to the Anti-Ballistic TLUSTIC Treaty its be no the subject of impassioned argument among some 180 bufil amontariano. The 1.5, expert on disarrament Thomas (Longstress), has wall in one of the assemily completees that it is both inaccurate and reckless to Jain, as Mcfarlans has, that the 1972 ABM Treat; authorizes research into. And the fewer second and testing of, untiballistic systems. As criticism to all, the aid ingle arministration is trying to drown out the voices of and demostion with or changing its position. According to reports, the NATO Assembly is seen his a test. If it looks as if the assembly will not support The star wars program, the minimistration intends to prevent any vote on the

ESH1 328L/1958

SDI AND SPACE ARMS

SOVIET SCIENTISTS DISCUSS SPACE DEFENSE ARCUMENTS.

LDI31319 Moscow in English to North America 0001 CMT 12 Oct 85

["Top priority" panel discussion conducted by Vladimir Posner with Professor Radomir Bogdanov and Professor Sergey Plekhamov, Soviet scientists at the Institute of the United States of America and Canada in Noscov-live or recorded

[Excerpts] [Posner] Today we'll be discussing a treaty that went into operation 18 years ago on 10 October 1967: It is called the Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, Including Noon and Other Celestial Bodies. Now, considering what has been happening over the past couple of years and in particular 'DI, the Strategie Sefense Initiative, I would like both of you, gentlemen, to comment on how you see the present day as relating to this particular treaty. What do you have to say about that? Would you like to begin Dr Bogdanov?

[Bogdanov] Now I believe that you have mentioned this treaty in a very good and very convincing way. It's as actual as it was 10 years ago....

[Posner, interrupting] Maybe more so.

[Bogdanov] Exactly, maybe more as than before. So I think we should discuss it if you have any questions to ask, we'll be quick to answer to that, but I would like to say it's very important and it's very actual. Thank you very much for inviting us to take part in this discussion.

[Posnet] Let me say this: The proponents of SDI would probably eav--and I will play devil's advocate today--would probably say that the whole idea of SDI in neway conflicts with the ideals expressed in this treaty. Now what would you now to that, Professor Flekhanov'

[Flekhanov] Well, I certainly don't agree with this estimate. I think that we have enough evidence—documentary evidence—that supports the motion that the current SDI program, as it is conceived, noes against both the spirit and the letter of this treaty that marks its 15th anniversary....

[Posner, interrupting] It's 18th

[Plekhanov] ... 18th anniversary today. I would like to quote it in which was partially revealed by THE NEW TORK TIMES a comple of years and that is the detense guidance for years 1986-89, which contians a number graphs dealing with the idea of using space as a battlefield. It was stance—and this is the official Department of Defense document—that should be made to, quote, wase war effectively, unquote, from outer and, that the Pentagon will vigorously pursue, quote, unquote, space system to reject force in and from space. Now I think this is a clear... clearly in the time of what the treaty is all about.

[Posner] Does that particular quote relate to Sbi'

[Plekhanov] Well it certainly does because these people are talking about the implementation of the wester which was unveiled by President Reagan to his smooth in March 198).

[Posner] In other words, what you're saying is that it's a defence that it makes it possible to Launch an attack. Is that what you're driving at?

[Bogdanov] Oh ves, st yes. The put it very bluntly and very correct. This's what I mean.

[Plekhanov] I would like to add a few words to that: I think that the like that one can protect the United States, the whole territory, by a shield incontrable by Soviet missiles, I think it has been put away, put aside, even to be administration itself. It offenses now in its official statements that this can't be done and this is not what they are actually trying to do. They are acconcentrating on the idea of protecting their missile launching pada....

[Bogdanov, interrupting | The so-called point defense,

[Plekhamov] ... the so-malled point defense; and experts have been pointing not ever since President Reagan unveiled his plans in 1981 that the only was that the system can be made to work in if the country that has such a system, in purjunction with its offensive weapons, uses its offensive weapons first; her has if you use your offensive weapons first and destroy much of the retaliatory power of the other side, then and only then, that limited protective which just can't possibly be built, can be effective in warding off the retaliators strike So you see there's no way that you can use it really for defense. You are it only to defend yourself against a second strike by the opponent.

[Posner] What you're saying them is that this is a shield that is built assume a crippled opponent?

[Plekhanov] Exactly.

[Posner] It is not built against a full force opponent.

[Posner] [as received] Well let me retreat just a little hit on this issue. At this point many people in the United States and I'm not only openhing new of people from the different... say from the Heritage Foundation or from the military

industrial ompute, "to assess La wrong with frameric . discover that Indeed It is the of energian to the last and full few people was at a ... they really fee, arrang) --THE RESIDENCE OF THE RE (as heard). No bestdom ... logianty the kern there ment and deployments that if you call that a management The second second distinct, what make resent Pleasured | would like | to a level to be a few and a fe it. In fact the administration was the second secon to the past months, that, will - 11 /marks - 11 no there is not the arms and their 9.0 about that your reserve in the state of the s Ching operators. No toler, by the When you undertake resear. THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE (HE R.) ([Elekhimy] Yes, for the min. rejudrements, or semething 1 (s * 77 040 It's designed to reate a second The fact of the first of the the celsting arms rais-Promote New land, by new to briefly. Officially, brooken States have said that it and development what have my, office the wish-wis the sail, and that distance of the sale and that Bogdane You Army, by read to ple ground it's impossible to an arm last, I don't know to Pleasany, interreting Bradan v to ste rick. 1 (00) in't and, even for fund iline-in't am into u (ii) Proces | New there's postLinguisment Charter of the Control o

Delta were walk or the control of

Displaced for the first of the

Transfer and the first transfer and the first transfer and the first transfer and the first transfer and tran

And the second of the second o

Desired and Towns and Title 1

Carrier Contra

DOWN - DOWN AND O

SOL AND SPACE AREA

FURTURE REPORTS ON C.S. SOUTHCHNICAL OF LOTTE

Presible Launch it Novient in the

LD TIME Serve well bervice in inglish 100 DY a me at

The Indian States is preparing to make on ther stee in militarizing and. This follows from what has been said by several or homeon, in there are the use allest Strategic Defense Initiative. The moral or see in the House Junemittee for Science and Technology. He said that in the early says the initial states small launch a malear reactor into space, he said that was recover for solving the energy problems connected with the deployment of initiative and initiative or the littles in space under the Strategic school initiative or the states is already attived testing an example.

The said is launched a missile third shot jumps control cartality.

if this is taking place at a time when the partiripants in the denate at the the interal Assembly session warn against spreading the arms rare to terrestrial space. The Seviet Union has submitted to the United Dations the proposal of international convertion in the peakeful exploration of space in the unitest of its momitiatization.

Spac Strile's Rd.

LOUGHDLY MISCHW TASK international Service in Russian 1015 OFF & Oct 85.

Their Sew York, A October [TASS] -- As the information agency if reported, furing the continuing flight of the remable spaceship Atlantia, which is being implemented exclusively according to a Pentagon program, two military oppositions satellites were put into orbit. But satellites, according to Afficient, are equipped with a defense system to defend it against electromagnetic radiation in conditions of a nuclear explosion, sowever, it is revealed in the AF report that this is the only information on the Atlantia spainship program which has become known. For the remainder all defails of the libert are being kept secret.

As the newspaper TME NEW YORK TIMES points not, the Pentagon has reserved for itself for the speing decade between 25 and 50 percent of all filehts in 150

atmosphere of the attrictest sector. The military attrictest sector. The military parameters and the restrict of the newstraner's evidence, plan to the restrict operation in out into orbit satellites for military purposes, and in the laser weapons and conduct of an experiments within the framework of the district of latters are assumed as the missile defense system with elements to be a space.

In the near future, THE NEW YORK INTO reminde us, the country's miditary propertment will have at its disposal its am launching pad for launching remarkable space-ships at the Vanderberg Almass in Exhibernial. As early as March of next year the launch will take place there of a regular spaceship in the space abuttle program, on board which will be two payloads with relations directly to the developments being sarried out in the United States for the star wars program.

on the admission of Pentagen representatives, the menupages writes, the Lit. militarization of space did not begin vertexas. For several decades now the military have been scepling the American maker tweeters or program under their or shared control. As the magazine AVIATI — DEL NO STATE TRANSPORT emphasions. It is essentially impossible as the greater time to other a creater line becomes the peaceful and military over the peaceful and military over the peaceful and Space Research.

In the United States itself and shroad, the reason's scaleshie flight program is being seen to an ever greater degree to be it the most important elements in a large-scale program for the nUltirization of apart, descriped and inchrenented with the sim of undermining _____ or itself = tability in the part the United States.

* ____ *

PROFILE TORONG KRANGAYA STUSSA OF Disaster St. 1911 F. Section Edition in S.

TANK reports: "Down the "Star Ware" South

Variable to the Bease administration in the "star ward" program but fideward by the Bease administration in the law to a second time the development [rarrabetha] of more and more than the standard arms. As THE JALL STREET DOUBLE reports, the [absorption at inversity of Texas Electrical Inglowering Lenter are creating a "space and "see a distributed for shorting at largest in near-earth space which uses a distributed for the inversity of approximate of the experimental model of this installation safried but back in 1987 a shell of around in grams was little at an ejection [machalogovernity of approximate of the per second. As the newspaper puts it, the offer atoms of the "space cannon" its beside to be a seed to be use of these we approximate to the per second, units, then believe, will make the use of these we approximate considerable more efficient from part to be about missiles.

As Colomel M. (O'mil), a representative of the organization for the implementation of the "Strategic Defense Oritialise," statud in an interview with Tell HALL RIBERT JOURNAL, "A space Lammon Valued with an emergy secret on the satellite will be an ideal weapon for 'star wars,'
[sozdaniye] these weapons was sanctioned by the Tentana.

President Reagan personally, the newspaper points.

U.S. military-industrial complex concerns, including General Dynamics, have been fighting for the right to the "space cannon." In Col (O'nil's) words, but here the may be allocated for financing this project in the entired to

Military Shuttle Missim

LD121413 Moscow TASS in English 0800 GMT 12 Oct 4)

[Text] Washington 12 October TASS-The Inited States 1 Table along the way of the militarization of space. According to the command of the U.S. Air Force, another land to the craft will be carried out at the Vandenberg base and the command of the U.S. Air Force and the command of the spacecraft whose launching as mext year, will perform a number of programs development. These include the checking of the most up-to-dail detection of planes, cruise missiles, ballists and the process of flight for the purpose struction. A representative of the U.S. Air Force and the process of space weapons apart from detection systems and the process of spacecraft and how it would be used.

CSO: 5200/1058

SDI AND SPACE ARMS

MOSCON PAPER COMMENTS ON SDI EXPERIMENTS, PURPOSES

Moscow MOSKOVSKAYA PRAVDA in Russian 28 Jun 85 p 4

[Article by Mikhail Stoyanov: "In Captivity of 'Star' Mirages" under the rubric: ""International Overview"; text in boldface shown in all caps]

- Text | o WHERE THE LASER RAY IS DIRECTED
 - o PENTAGON RUSHES INTO SPACE
 - O DANGEROUS ILLUSIONS OF STRATEGIC SUPERIORITY
 - O WASHINGTON'S ALLIES PREFER TO HOLD BACK

A laser beam sent from the ground Air Force Station at Maui Island, after "piercing" through hundred kilometers of the near-earth space, plunged into a mirror installed on the spacecraft and, after being reflected from it, rushed to intercept the "enemy's" missile....

This is not a fragment from the Hollywood "Star Wars" series, but an illustration of actual events which took place several days ago during the flight of the reusable spacecraft "Discovery" under the space shuttle program. There were certainly no "enemy" missiles, which were successfully substituted by the inflamed fantasy of Per'agon generals who made one more step toward the militarization of space, where the USA has rushed to outline the "new frontiers of the American empire."

According to the American press, the completed "Discovery" flight represented one more practical step in the realization of the so-called "Strategic Defense Initiative" (SDI) proposed by the Reagan Administration. Among other objectives of the flight, the most important one was to test one of the possible components of the anti-missile defense system with some space-based elementslaser equipment.

It is said that President B. Reagan and Lt Gen J. Abrahamson, Reagan's protege in the post of head of the organization for the implementation of SDI, do not like the term "Star Wars." According to the General, this term "creates an impression that our program is designed for war time purposes.... Actually," he assures in his interview with a correspondent of the British TIMES "its purpose is perfectly clear: to find the best way for preserving peace and averting war."

THE LEXICAL ARSENAL OF ABBAHAMSON WHICH ALSO INCLUDES "MORAL" ARGUMENTS TO SUPPORT "STAR WARS" PERHAPS CORRESPONDS TO HIS FINE APPEARANCE AND SOFT VOICE ISOMETIMES IT SEEMS, NOTES THE TIMES CORRESPONDENT NOT WITHOUT SARCASM, THAT THE WHITE HOUSE GAVE HIM THE "CENTRAL ROLE" TO EMPHASIZE THE PEACEFUL DIRECTION OF THE PRESIDENT'S PLANS CONCERNING THE OUTER SPACE), BUT IT IS CLEARLY IN DISSONANCE WITH TRUE SDI PURPUSES. GENERAL ABRAHAMSON HIMSELF IN HIS PENTAGON OFFICE DESCRIBED SOME WAYS OF THEIR IMPLEMENTATION TO THE BRITISH JOURNALIST "WITH ENTHUSIASM OF A SCHOOLBOY EXPOUNDING THE MERITS OF HIS FAVORITE SPORTS CAR." THE GENERAL DEMONSTRATED COLOR SLIDES THAT DEPICTED THOSE KINDS OF WEAPONS WHICH "AS HE HOPES, ONE DAY WILL BE LAUNCHED INTO OUTER SPACE."

However, components of the material and technical preparation to "star wars" can be seen today not only on slides. As Abrahamson has admitted, along with the laser tests, an electromagnetic gun has been tested, and the production of its first samples is due to begin soon. G. Knuort [Sic--probably--Keyworth], the President's Scientific Advisor, stated that already in two-three years the TSA will be ready to "demonstrate" its technology of the "star wars" program.

The Pentagon is building at an accelerated pace, a Space Center at U.S. Air Force's Vandenberg Base the Joint Center for Space Operations at the foot of the Rocky Mountains, near which the Air Space Defense Center is located.

These facts underiably indicate that the plans for militarization of space have transcended the research and development stage and are in the stage of active and broad realization. Here, before touching upon recent events which uncover the essence of SDI, we would like to dwell on some moments related to its origin and motives which caused its appearance in the first place.

DURING THE FIRST TERM OF REAGAN'S PRESIDENCY, AMERICAN NATION MAGAZINE, AS AN EXAMPLE OF THE INCOMPETENCE OF THE MASTER OF THE WHITE HOUSE IN MILITARY AND TECHNICAL QUESTIONS, REFERRED TO HIS SPEECH IN WHICH THE HEAD OF THE ADMINISTRATION CLAIMED THAT NUCLEAR MISSILES LAUNCHED FROM SUBMARINES COULD ALLEGEDLY BE RECALLED. THIS QUEER THING, HOWEVER, IS PERCEIVED TODAY AS AN ECHO OF CERTAIN CONCRETE EVENTS.

WHEN IN MARCH 1983 PRESIDENT REAGAN CAME FORWARD WITH HIS "STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE" WHICH, ACCORDING, TO HIM. COULD "CHANGE THE COURSE OF HUMAN HISTORY," SINCE HE SAYS IT WOULD ENABLE US TO MAKE STRATEGIC WEAPONS "POWER-LESS AND OBSOLETE" AND BRING AN END TO THE THREAT OF NUCLEAR NIGHTMARE, THE EDITOR-IN-CHIEF OF NEWSWEEK MAGAZINE ASKED HIM: "WHEN HAD YOU FIRST HEARD OF THIS IDEA OR WHEN HAD IT FIRST CROSSED YOUR MIND?" TO THIS THE PRESIDENT ANSWERED: "IT IS FUNNY THAT EVERYONE IS SO SURE THAT I HAD TO HEAR ABOUT IT AND THAT I WAS NOT ABLE TO COME UP WITH IT MYSELF. ACTUALLY, THIS IDEA CAME TO ME."

It seems, however, that the idea already was in the air in Washington. As far back as two years before the above dialogue, the right-wing organization, the Heritage Foundation, which has had much influence upon the Administration, had united around the retired General D. Graham as a group of men close to Reagan, who represented primarily the U.S. military industrial complex. With half-a-million dollars raised during respective campaigns, the research was conducted. In this way, the project "high-altitude frontier," the precurser of Reagan's

SDI, was born. That is where the master of the White House has got "his" idea. Was it really then, when without understanding the essence of the nutter he dropped the words about the possibility to "recall" the launched missiles? Both the President and later the Pentagon officials, however, "sized up" the main thing: in their opinion, the possibility appeared to ensure military and technological superiority of the USA and, on this basis, to acquire the ability to threaten with the "first strike," counting on subsequent survival. Those forces overseas, in which the existence of the military-strategic parity caused a nervous allergy, have perceived the "strategic defense initiative" as a kind of "historic chance"--to create "absolutely reliable" anti-missile defense and, while protected with the "space shield," to threaten the Soviet Union with nuclear sword which as is known the USA not only does not intend to sheathe, as the USSR has repeatedly suggested, but to persistently "sharpen" as well.

Is this the kind of "change in the course of human history" that President Reagan spoke about? And was it what the initiators of the "high-altitude frontier" who conducted active persuasion of the members of Congress and public opinion in the USA and abroad in favor of the plans for militarization of space--implementation of which was to a considerable degree commissioned to Californian companies subcontracting to Pentagon, among which the first ten military space contracts were distributed--thought about? It is precisely from their proposal, to a considerable degree, that the "high-altitude frontier" transformed into SDI was developed. It is clear that the large-scale anti-missile defense system with space-based elements, which, according to some data, will cost 500 billion dollars, arouses tremendous joy in military business magnates.

This dangerous militarist venture arouses quite different sentiments in millions of people, including those in the USA, who soberly realize how unrealistic and illusory are the hopes of the U.S. ruling circles to acquire strategic superiority through Pentagon's breakthrough into space, but how real and dangerous are related consequences. Furthermore, the implementation of SDI is a most gross violation of obligations pledged by the USA in the Anti-Missile Defense (AMD) Treaty, concluded in 1972.

Reflecting anxiety of the Americans themselves in relation to Washington's plans for militarization of space, the PROGRESS magazine writes that even the scientists participating in the creation of the space "shield" have arrived to the conclusion that no technology is capable of providing total detense from missiles. The magazine also quotes the words of P. Aldridge, military nuclear weapons specialist, that, "Although the American people are made to believe that the 'star wars' program is of a defensive nature, it is far from being so."

During these days, U.S. Vice President G. Bush, who is voyaging throughout the Old World countries, had also to encounter a negative attitude to the over-publicized "star" enticement of the White House. The "star wars" program has not met such degree of understanding in Europe that Washington counted upon, he admitted on the eve of his trip. To persuade NATO allies and instill them with American understanding of the issue, Bush set off on a tour through West European capitals.

PRIOR TO THE VICE PRESIDENT, THE PRESIDENT HIMSELS, THE PENTAGO CHIEF WEINBRECES AND SECTETARY OF STATE SHULTZ HAVE ATTEMPTED TO SOLVE THE SAME PROBLEM.
HUBBLES, EVEN AT THE LAST SESSION OF NATO CARROLL IN THE PORTUGUESE TOWN S
ESTORIL THEY DID NOT MANAGE TO SECURE THE ALLES' APPROVAL OF THE AMERICAN
"STAR WARS" PROGRAM AND TO AGREE ABOUT A JOINT STATEMENT TO SUPPORT "RESEARCH"
IN CREATION OF SPACE STRIKE WEAPONS.

AND THE REASON FIRST AND FOREMOST, II LIES IN A LEGITIMATE APPREHENSING THE LAST EVEN PEAN ALLIES THAT SOI WILL ACCELERATE THE ARMS RACE TO ALL ASTE NUMICAL LEVEL AND PUT THE WORLD IN EVEN GREATED PEAUL. "TO JUMP ON THE SAI BANDWARD MEANS TO RISK UNDERMINING THE STRAYEGIC BALANCE," WARNED IAN HAVINGEN, REVIEWER OF BRITISH FINANCIAL TIMES. WITH ALL THIS, WEST EUROPEAN AND THE SKEPTICAL AS TO AMERICAN PROMISES REGARDING ECONOMIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL BENEFITS FOR THEIR PARTICIPATION IN REASON'S "INITIATIVE."

themselves the responsibility for the participation, though, thereby taking a themselves the responsibility for the participation in pushing the humankind toward "star wars." This is exactly what the White House is trying to achieve to tie the allies by mutual guarantee given out as Atlantic "solidarity" and the partical voice on best European science, technology, and economy, which this is specified the CSA but in many positions.

It is who under the guise of the space "shield"--as it was in the sld day with the atomic "umbrella"--kashington attempts to impose increasingly more strict officer west Europe, tempting the allies with migrates of security that all will allededly provide, and with billions in hard cash intended to the preparation of "star wars," although they do not like to call this provide this way, on the banks of the Potima. But, whatever they would all it, but the french magazine WADE DIPLOMATIONE, it remains "star wars"--the most famous as the number was.

Let as emphasize -- [a project] dancerous for the whole humankind. That is very the inject nion considers the prevention of moving the arms race into the user space is in the most important objective. At the same time, if the covint nion is put before a real threat from outer space, it will find, in impact of, G. Gorharber has emphasized, a way to effectively oppose it.

And in one should have any illusions on that source.

4201 CAUST \$20071311 SDI AND SPACE ARMS

PRAYDA ON U.S. CONCERN OVER WEST EUROPEAN PUBLIC OPINION

PMC21538 Moscow PRAVDA in Russian 20 Det 85 First Edition p 4

[Vladimir Nikhavlov "International Review"]

[Excerpt] Opening the Way to Peace

Last week has shown once again that in the present-day explosive situation the planet's peoples are attentively following the steps being taken in favor of peace. In this regard the world community makes a high assessment of the consistency of the Soviet Union's course. Little more than I weeks has peaced since the range of peace moves by the USSR announced in Paris during the Soviet-French summit rocked the entire world. And this time the materials of the UPSL Central Committee October (1985) Plenum attest that the Soviet peace initiatives have not been dictated by some aind of prevailing conditions but accord with the very nature of a socialist state and its domestic needs and plans for the future. A society that has worked out such ambitious creative plans throughout the next millennium cannot contemplate war.

It is worth noting that this fact is acknowledged—albeit through clemehod teeth—even by those who are by no means amically disposed toward our country. The USSE is embarking on "changes on a truly historic scale" (THE WASHINGTON POST) and "intends to create an economic potential approximately equivalent to that built up over all the preceding years of Soviet power" (THE NEW YORK TIMES); the report at the CPSU Central Committee plenum "attents to the great importance that the Soviet leadership attaches to social policy and to the active and offective participation of the masses in state and social life" (MAINICHI—Japan); "the Soviet Union's economic program is aimed at achieving striking improvements" (HELSINGIN SANOMAT); "the Soviet leader has advocated the immediate adoption of decisions aimed at blocking the arms race and stopping the slide into war" (FRG television).

The news from Moscow attenting to the long-term orientation of the USSR's dominatic life toward peaceful creative labor irreconcilably contradicts the Enhylentions agreed in the West about the existence of a "Soviet threat."

The truth that ensuring lasting peace and reliable security is seen by the Sayler Union as a fundamental problem of the day is gaining the upper hand. The INSR's adherence to the Lenimist idea of peaceful coexistence between the two supposing systems is being reaffirmed.

Progressive forces in other countries see the CPSU's program directives as an expression of unswerving solidarity with their struggle, respect for their views and positions, and the desire to promote the strengthening of their unity—that dialectical unity of diversity which encompasses the entire living fabric of the real socialist world, workers, communist, and national liberation movements, and all movements against reaction and aggression and for peace and progress.

Thus, USSR's foreign policy, its goals, and its ways of achieving them are clear, there are no riddles and uncertainties in them. The Soviet Union's policy is, entirely predictably, a stable and reliable one full of responsibility for the late of the world in our contradiction-crammed era.

Dangerous Unpredictability

On 15 October, after a 30-year break, Washington again started a radio offensive against the West European countries.

The Voice of America resumed round-the-clock broadcasting in English. The most modern equipment and even communications satellites are involved. Charles Wick, director of the U.S. Information Agency (USIA), stated that the broadcasts are to "eliminate the shortage of information" on the transatlantic power's policy and, first and foremost, to combat "mistaken ideas" about the United Status that are common among West European young people.

Yes, West Europe is starting to understand Washington less and less. And it is not a case of a "shortage of information." Information streams in from overseas and is backed up by hundreds of pro-Atlantic newspapers and radio and television stations. The "lack of understanding," or rather the alarm at U.S. policy, emerged and strengthened as the United States muscled its new nuclear missiles onto West European soil. Now indignation is once again coming to a head. The reason is Washington's attempts to impose its "star wars" plans and build up confrontation "above all else" and, needless to say, above the vital interests of the West European states in maintaining the foundations of detention and developing international cooperation.

Will the United States sacrifice the East-West dialogue that has been initiated with such difficulty, and, above all, the forthcoming Soviet-U.S. summit to its militarist ambitions' This alarming question is now prevailing in West European capitals. It was also voiced at the emergency NATO Council session held this week in Brussels. The forum, where most West European participants have usually formed up "in ranks" to honor their transatlantic sovereign, this time presented a different picture. "The United States' European NATO allies," the French newspaper LF QUOTIDIES DE PARIS moted, "pressured G. Shultz to make Washington respond appropriately to the recent Soviet initiatives.... The Europeans, concerned at the U.S. SDI project, stressed their desire to strive for the observance of the ABM Treaty."

The fact is that this treaty between the USSR and the United States on limiting ABN defense systems was turned inside out on the other side of the Atlantic literally on the eye of the NATO foreign ministers meeting in Brussels, which was held this week. They attempted to depict the ABN Treaty over there as a

treaty that allegedly allows unrestricted research, testing, and creating sozdaniye of ASM systems and "merely" limits their deployment. As a result it turned out that the governments of a number of European NATO countries were misleading their peoples. For even now, echning Washington, they have given assurance that the implementation of the L.S. SDI ("Strategic Defense Initiative")—more accurately "star wars"—will be restricted to research and the ABM Treaty would be precisely observed. Now it is no longer a question of "precise observance," but of fitting this unlimited-duration international treaty to the "star wars" program. And at the Brussels meeting U.S. Secretary of State G. Shultz, THE NEW YORK TIMES reported, "tried to dispel the concern in west Europe that the United States might undernine the ABM Treuty that has been in existence for 1) years now." Did he succeed? "European diplomats," THE WASHINGTON POST writes, "are moting that in the long term (T.S.) administration policy remains undefined."

It is no accident that the unpredictability of U.S. foreign pality is alarming best Europe, and not only West Europe. And it is not just a question of the increasing disparity between the United States' workal adherence to peace and its concrete actions. Some other than Secretary of State Shaltz provided a reason for alarming doubts. "Any step going beyond the framework of the research work phase (on the 'star wars' program—V.M.)," in the little in Transella. "Will be taken only after consultations with the NATO allies and talks with the Secret Union."

The procedure proposed by the United States reminded the Europeans of how bashington imposed its Pershing II and cruise missiles on them. Then two "consultations with the NATO allies" were held and they were persuaded that the very decision to site the new U.S. nuclear missiles was necessary "merely" in "force the Soviets" to start talks, although the USSR has been prepared for talks long before the NATO missile decision. But when talks between the USSR and the inited states started, as in the inited the tilles but I have the Soviet proposals, however far-reaching they may be. The U.S. NATH partners were meant just to "demonstrate their polidarity" and wait for the "Russians to capitulate...."

Thus West Europe was "imperceptible" led to the day where—"in accordance with the NATO decision" but against the will of most West Europeans—the new U.S. mass destruction weapons started to be sited on their weil. Judging by everything, this "procedure" is also being prepared by Washington for a new and even more sinister matter—apreading the arms race to space. Space which knows no bounds. On the whole there are grounds for pendering the dangerous "uncertainty" and unpredictability of E.S. policy.

A perihulogical rethins of many long since shoulete dogman and resistance to the attempts to return the world to the defunct era of the policy of lorre are under way despite all the "wolces" in West Europe and other continents. In the Austrian capital the Socialist International Conference, in which delegates from almost 50 countries participated and which delegations from the CPSC, and CPC, and representatives of a number of national liberation movements attended as guests and observers, adopted the "Vienna Appeal" calling for the arms rune to be stopped. Particular concern was expressed at the spread of the arms race to space. That is why the Socialist International rejects the C.S. "Strategic Defense Initiative: and any other such concept. Disarrament, peaceful competation, and detente are declared in the appeal to be the sole sensible response to the danger currently threatening mankind.

What does all this show? A growth in the realization that each new stage in the arms race makes West Europe more dependent on the United States. Now, in this evil era of confrontation, many people realize more clearly than before the value of international detente and are striving to defend the remaining favorious cooperation and develop it further.

The opening this week in Budapest of a cultural forum of 35 of the states that participated in the Helsinki Conference was a reflection of the fruitfulness of these advanced trends on the European continent. More than 800 delegates—two-thirds of whom are cultural and artistic figures—have started discussing problems of all-European cooperation.

SONTET ARMY PAPER ASSAILS FRG "PRETENSIONS"

Moscov KHASNAYA ZVEZDA in Bussian 28 Jun 85 p 4

Article by A. Yevgen'vev: "What Do They Pretend: On the Increase of Militarist and Revanchist Tendencies in FBG

Excerpts) The current wave of activity of revanchist forces in FBG is of an extremely dangerous nature. The coming into power of the CDU/CSU-FDP coalition and political/material support rendered by H. Kohl to the "eternally yesterday's men" have served as its incitement. Beyond question, the stationing of American first strike nuclear weapons in FBG has also contributed to the raging (revanchism.

Revanchism and militarism are inseparably connected to each other. History indicates that revanchism has always inspired militarism, provided it with purposeful objectives, stimuli and, to a certain extent, with some material foundation. Militarism, in its turn, served as a platform at which revanchist ideas grew, sprang up and gained strength. And militarism has flourished in full blossom in FRG. Throughout the years of NATO presence, a large-scale military and economic potential has been created in the nation, and the Bundesver turned into the bloc's strike force on the European continent.

Bomn's attempts to gain access by hook or by crook to the nuclear weapons cause great anxiety. Research work in the field of nuclear energy is actively conducted in FRG, including research in production of highly enriched uranium and plutonium which may serve as raw materials for manufacturing nuclear weapons; the means for their delivery are also being developed. FRG produces missiles and aircrafts capable of carrying nuclear weapons. Simultaneously the nation's right-wing circles are more and more actively soliciting the participation in decisions concerning NATO nuclear strategy.

The interest of West German militarist circles to the development and manufactoring of space strike weapons is tremendous. And this is not surprising. Sure, joining the programs for militarization of space would enable Bonn to further increase its military and political status and to largely "compensate" for the ban on production of nuclear and chemical weapons in FRG. Military conforms of West Germany have already received permission from the government for direct contacts with Pentagon on the issue of scientific and technological research and technological production of space weapons.

SDI AND SPACE ARES

PURTHER COMMENTS ON PROSPECT OF JAPANESE SDI PARTICIPATION

"Dublous Honor"

PMOLIBS9 Moscow PRAVDA in Russian 28 Sep 85 First Edition to V

[B. Barakhta "Rejoinder": "Collaboration..."

[Text] The Japanese-American Committee on Exchanges of Military Technology has held a routine session in Tokyo. The body was not up under pressure from the Pentagon in contravention of Japanese laws hamiling all forms of ports.

In recent years Pentagon strategists have been displaying heightened interest in Japanese innovations in technology and equipment. The increase in the Potomac general's activity is not innocent. experts believe that the United States is inferior to its Tokyo partners in industrial species which also have a defense significance, such as electronics, metallurgy, shiphuilding, and fiber optics. According to BUSINESS WEEK magazine, Japanese mirrorressors, special ceramics, and super-heat-resistant coatings are beginning to nicrea decisive part in the production of U.S. missiles and fighters. For example, integrated circuits made by the ("Kisera") firms are used in Tomahava cruise missiles.

lapan was one of the first countries President Beagan iswited to participate in the implementation of the "star wars" program. It is no segret that firms from the Land of the Rising Sun can offer much more in this field that Washington's other allies.

It is known that during the bilateral committee's sension there was a discussion of Tokyo's special practical involvement in the implementation of the Feminaum's plans to militarize space. In this connection the visitors from across the ocean demanded across to Japanese developments in laser technology, fiftingeneration computers, fiber optics, and tracking and guidance systems.

So it looks like Tokyo is to perform the role of an important narraw for Washington in preparing for "star wars." A distinct boosey.

"Outstrine Allies"

LIGHTS was a fast to totalier . And . The first

This weather: Two theather a .:

[Test] Monton i Detaber TASS--TASK political news analyst south Airmond writers

Appreciage to reports form eachington, a delegation of high paneling landous officials has ended talks with the American officials in charge of implementation of the an-called "Strategic Defence Initiative" (TII). The delegation including representatives of the Sational Defence Agency, the Foreign Ministry and some other government institutions, is returbing to Japan, at the Arith Toronta ACINII pointed out, "impressed by strategic Defence Initiaty or washington) the terminal deal details of the Strategic Defence Initiaty or and is prepared to present its recommendations on that a part is a government.

The agency points out in that because in the "presimility that Prime William ter Tasubire Nasasone mer declare his positive attitude to imple" - partitional time in the "star wars" research or gramme even before the just to the imited States planned before the end of the month. The inited States, the agencials, is prepared to conclude with Lapan a special agreement on the decails of joint research in the field of the Strategic Defence Initiation.

Thus, They is prenering to outsitely many silies of the United States and the he first to jump into the "infernal train" of the arms raw, which washingtime. Internaliting the implementation of the "star wave" programme, is trained to speed up. It must be recalled that in williamshurg many than a rearrange. Japan already made such a "laws," thus notatripping the other particles and the meeting of the "Seven" as regards political support for the agreement global policy of the united States, in marticular the decision to deep amortion medium-range number missiles in pastern Europe.

The Japanese NIMTH EXITAL contex the signature of the Japanese Foreign Ministry as eaving that at the meeting of the leaders of the "Seven" in which finds will not participate) in Sew York late this month "beagan intends to ayr from the allies an official approval for the UDI research project," while has seened the newspaper adds, "attaches much significance to that meeting and intends I give at it large-scale "ingistic support" for the 1.5, administration.

As is printed out in Japan, the participation of that country in the Strategic Defence Initiative, which is opening new aread in the area race, is in direct conflict with the resolution adopted by the language nationarm on the use of outer space anish with respect aims. Consequently, in agreeing to darfill-pate in the Sil project, let it be even at the "research stace," the ruling circles once again intend to disregard the learly expressed with at the Japanese people for pears and peaceful user of outer space;

Total Committee of the

In the Low

DEF BE STRUCTURE BUT HER STRUCTURE STARTS THE STR

POLITICAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF

The last of the community of the second straight and definisher because, the orthogonal representation of the second straight straigh

The second second of the second secon

The control of the co

that is Sampled to the sent the dark that the world this is tartied because that you will be sent to be a factor because the sent of the sent to be a factor to be a factor of the sent of the sent to be a factor of the sent of the sent

COURS COMPANY

SDI AND SPACE ARMS

BAILTS

SCIENTISTS COLLOQUIUM DENOUNCES SDI--Prague 6 October TASS--An international colloquium, dealing with the role of scientists in preventing the arms race in outer space, has come to a close here. More than 40 scientists from 20 socialist and capitalist countries highly ascessed the new Soviet peace initiatives proclaimed during the visit to France by General Secretary of the CPSU Central Committee Mikhail Gorbachev. During 3 days of debates, the scientists unanimously denounced the sp-called Strategic Defence Initiative of the Reagan administration. Any development of new arms systems threatens ill of manking, they stressed. [Text] [Moscow TASS in English 1702 CMT 6 Oct 8, Lb]

USSR: COMMECTION BETWEEN SPACE, OFFENSIVE AND CUTS STRESSED

Moscow APN DAILY REVIEW in English 14 Oct 85 pp 1-3

[Article by Igor Siniteyn, APS political analyst, under the rubric "Nows and Views: Sunday Main Topic": "Moscow: New Arguments Against 'Star Wars'"]

[Text] APS--The concrete Soviet proposals against "star" and nuclear wars, voiced by Nikhail Gorbachev in Paris, are being studied by statemen, politicians and the world public at large supported by the world public opinion. In the political centres of the West, to say mothing of the socialist and developing countries, they give it to unferstand that the USSR's initiatives demand a constructive response on the part of the USA.

The point is that though the new arguments of Moscow concern relations between the USSE and the USA, they are of a global character. They are a full prohibition for the two sides to have strike space weapons and a 50 percent reduction of the nuclear weapons which can reach each other's territory.

As we see, Moscow links the two central problems—space strike weapons and nuclear strategic forces in a single complex of two aspects. Is this right? The point is that the U.S. administration is going to any lengths to prove that "Star Wars" should be moved beyond any accord on disarrament, calling them a "defence initiative."

The recognition by the Soviet Union and the United States of the relationship between the offensive and defensive strategic systems was formalised in international law, in the simultaneous signing, on 26 May 1972, of the termines Treaty of the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missile Systems and of a Five-Tear Interin Agreement on Certain Measures With Respect to the Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms. The ABM Treaty has become the corner-stone of the whole process of limitation and reduction of nuclear weapons. This document says in no uncertain terms that the parties proceed from the premise that effective measures to limit anti-ballistic missile systems would be a substantial factor in curbing the race in strategic offensive arms and would lead to a decrease in the risk of outbreak of war involving methods weapons.

Maintaining the balance of forces, reducing its level--ouch are the most important factors for preserving and strengthening pasce. In the past this was

recognised by Washington, too. Now that Ronald Reagan's "Star Wars" programme is combined with the build-up of nuclear strategic arms, such as the MO and Trident-2 missiles, Moscow's apprehensions that the USA is planning a first strike com-only grow in the context of the repeated assertions by the United States of the doctrine allowing nuclear war.

Under these conditions, the USSR's proposal that the two sides reduce by 100 percent the nuclear arms reaching each others' territories is extremely fruitful. But how is it possible to achieve complete liquidation of nuclear arms on earth? This is the principal task for survival of our civilisation. The Soviet Union has spared no effort to fulfill this task from the very mergence of nuclear weapons. Hoscow has long suggested the most radical way -- to conclude an agreement on banning nuclear arms under strict international control. But the policy of the other nuclear powers shows that they are not yet prepared to such a move. So, there is only one way -- the way of a progressive, stage-by-stage resolution of the problem. The Soviet Union has already suggested reducing the strategic offensive armaments by a quarter. Mikhail Gorbachev has now stated Moscow's preparedness to agree to a 50 percent reduction of the Soviet and U.S. strategic arms reaching each other's territories. The scheme proposed by the Soviet Union envisages that the total number of such nuclear veapons of the USSR and the USA should be 1,250 and 1,680 respectively. Hoscow even agrees to a certain advantage of the USA in the number of delivery vehicles, taking into account an equal number of nuclear charges. 6,000, on both sides. This would ensure approximate strategic balance.

What the Soviet Union is suggesting is eventual complete dismantling of nuclear arms on earth and flourishing of humanity.

But the U.S. military-industrial complex pushes humankind to "star" and nuclear war, i.e., the end of life on earth.

FINNISH PAPER: USS IOWA IN BALTIC POINTS UP 'ZONE' PROBLEM

Helsinki HELSINGIN SANGMAT in Finnish 28 Sep 85 p 2

[Editorial: "Nuclear Weapons in the Baltic a Hopeless Problem for Zone Project"]

[Text] The "Iowa," an American battleship equipped with cruise missiles, is participating in the military exercises of MATO in the Baltic and demonstrating the problems involved in establishing a nuclear-free zone in the North. Even if Kekkonen's plan for the zone, which now seems unrealistic, would some day become a reality, it would not in any way prevent the superpowers' nuclear fleets from cruising in the Baltic. Kekkonen's plan, and the zone supported even today by Finland, comprises areas of Finland, Sweden, Norway and Denmark, but not the Baltic and other maritime areas bordering the Nordic countries. The reason is obvious: the Nordic countries cannot mutually agree on any other activities than those concerning their own areas.

The Baltic is a sea open to all nations. When initiating negotiations about it being free of nuclear weapons, the parties concerned can include, besides all the bordering states of the Baltic, also the United States. Undoubtedly, bringing the battleship "lows" to the Baltic is intended to be a new reminder of it. A few years ago, one of the participants in the large-scale military exercises of the Warsaw Pact in the Baltic was the "Kiev," at the time the nost modern aircraft carrier of the Soviet Union.

Nuclear weapons have been commonplace in the Baltic since their integration into standard naval weapons. Let us remember how even the old-fashioned Soviet submarine which ran aground in front of Karlskrona in the fall of 1981 was, according to the Swedes, equipped with nuclear torpedoes or nuclear mines. The Baltic is in a key position as a Soviet naval base, and most of the ship repair yards are on the Baltic shores.

In Sweden and the other Bordic countries--except Finland--the initial assumption has been that a nuclear-free some in the Borth does not have much value if it ignores the nuclear weapons of the Baltic. Having previously strictly rejected such demands, the Soviet Union has lately changed its

stand and appears to be more flexible. The Soviet Union has announced that it is ready "to discuss also the nuclear-free zone in the Baltic waters with the parties concerned."

However, being ready for discussions does not make the basic problem itself any easier, as the well-known Soviet scientist Lev Voronkov has explained in detail. The Soviet Union--as undoubtedly also the United States--associates the Baltic situation with the balance of military powers in all of Europe and refuses to discuss it as part of a nuclear-free zone restricted to the North.

If the nuclear weapons in the Baltic are drawn into the zone discussion, new negotiating partners and altogether new questions will have to be included. The whole issue would be incorporated in the arms control negotiations of the superpowers and the Nordic countries would have essentially secondary roles.

If the intention is to continue keeping the project of a nuclear-free zone in the North primarily as an exclusive political project for the Nordic countries, one must unfortunately close his eyes to the nuclear weapons patrolling on and beneath the waves of the Baltic. However, it involves the danger that the stubborn support for the project seems mainly to be obsessive foreign policy masturbation without any hope of the fertility of the activity.

12956

C\$0: 5200/2509

SUCLEAR TESTING

TASS ON CONTINUED TESTING BY U.S.

Energy Department Spokesman Cited

LD100856 Moscow TASS in English 0749 GMT 10 Oct 85

[Text] San Francisco October 10 TASS -- The United States has conducted underground tests of two nuclear devices at a testing range in Nevada.

A spokesman for the U.S. Department of Energy said their yields have been under 20 kilotons.

UNITED PRESS INTERNATIONAL reported the tests had been connected with the U.S. program of developing space strike weapons. It said the United States had staged three such tests this year.

Debate in U.S. Noted

LD101555 Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 0930 GMT 10 Oct 85

[Text] Moscow, October 10 TASS-TASS political observer, Yuriy Kornilov writes: The United States has conducted another underground nuclear test in Nevada, the third nuclear blast there after the Soviet unilateral decision to half nuclear explosions took effect on August 6.

The roar of the nuclear blast in Nevada has echoed across the globe at a time when people all over the world, including America, are discussing the latest Soviet foreign policy initiatives for checking the arms race and improving the political climate.

These proposals have evoked a positive response from many prominent politicians and public figures in different countries, members of their governments and parliaments, and members of the antivar movement—all who cherish peace.

A certain shift has also been in Washington: The Soviet proposals are no longer dismissed out of hand as another "propaganda exercise" there.

Obviously, there are sensible, realistic ideas maturing in U.S. public and political, including congressional, circles.

But there are also other facts in evidence that cannot but make one concerned.

This includes not only nuclear trials that follow one after another but also anti-satellite weapons testing.

This also includes persistent statements for U.S. policy-shaping circles that the United States regards its "star wars" program as an immutable goal set once and for all and is bent on continuing to push shead with preparations to turn outer space into a scene of military adventures.

The militaristic policy has been furnished with a corresponding propagands cover.

Hardly a day passes without certain quarters in Washington trying to smear and twist the Soviet position and scare people with allegations about a non-existent "Soviet threat."

This may include a briefing in the Capitol, with its message from the U.S. press that it is essential to pass white for black and prove the unprovable in order to persuade people that the Soviet proposals are geared to "securing Soviet military superiority."

This may also include charges by a group of senators, [words indistinct] who have long been parasitic with anti-Soviet fabrications, that the USSE allegedly violates "its obligations in the sphere of armaments control."

Or, this may include Defense Secretary Caspar Weinberger talking about a "threat of Soviet aggression" and demanding still faster increases in military spending, which will exceed an astronomical \$300 billion in fiscal year 1986.

The addresses of those who play the role of generators of militarism in the United States are not a secret. They are not only the rightwing conservative and reactionary circles of the Republican Party and not only the Pentagon, that headquarters of the "hawks of war" which has been entrusted with bringing by the atrength, arming, and brainwashing the 21.4 million soldiers and officers of the U.S. Armed Forces toward aggression. Behind the hawks who have built their nests on Washington's political Olympus stand America's hig business and its heart—the powerful military-industrial corporations. In the years of the World War II, which took 50 million human lives, U.S. arms magnates put over 51.00 billion in profit into their safes. Over the last 5 years the joint profits of the 10 leading U.S. companies producing weapons have grown by 2.3 times. The powerful military-industrial complex created in the United States—that winister alliance of the bomb, the dollar, and the organs of power—Is the group that is interested in tension not falling, but rising.

One can hardly avoid getting the impression that some people in the United States have become afraid of the very possibility of accords being achieved in Geneva, the possibility that they will perhaps have to reduce their arms manufacture and keep down their military appetite.

The Soviet Union is prepared for an East-West dialogus, that is a serious and constructive dialogue marked by a sincere desire to identify areas of continuity and the balance of interests and to strengthen trust by joint efforts.

So why do some people in the United States really believe that the rumble of underground nuclear blasts and bellicose broadsides against the Soviet Union's space initiatives is the best overture there can be to the forthcoming summit meeting?

Arguments For, Against Moratorium

LD172037 Moscow TASS in English 2007 CMT 17 Oct 85

[Text] Washington, October 17 TASS--The United States conducted a new underground nuclear blast on a proving range in Newada State on Wednesday. As the U.S. Energy Department said, its yield was from 20 to 150 kilotons.

Comments on the latest testing say here that the USA has already conducted 14 nuclear blasts this year. The USA has already conducted 644 nuclear weapons since 1951, when the "death proving ground" in Nevada was established.

The American observers draw attention to the fact that the Washington administration has recently obviously stepped up the implementation of the Pentagon's programme of nuclear testing. It has been sharply accelerated following the Soviet Union's decision to end unilaterally as of August 6 this year all nuclear blasts and urge the USA to answer in kind. Yet the United States has answered that Soviet proposal with a whole series of nuclear tests and refuses to end them despite the broad demands of U.S. and international public for following the USSR's example.

Meanwhile, the termination of all nuclear blasts would put up reliable barriers in the way of a modernization of nuclear weapons and creation of its new types. The introduction of a moratorium would create favorable conditions for concluding an international treaty on complete and general prohibition of nuclear weapons tests, would be a giant step forward in the limitation of the arms race and prevention of nuclear war.

After a period of some confusion, the U.S. Administration preferred, however, to follow a different way and carried on the policy of perfecting the nuclear weapons through conducting nuclear blasts. In explaining this stand of the USA, the U.S. press said that the refusal of the USA is directly linked with the Pentagon's plans to spread the arms race into outer space, to speed up the implementation of the notorious "Strategic Defence Initiative" of the White House. As the newspaper THE WASHINGTON POST stressed, this program of "star wars," which has been advertised as a non-nuclear one, requires the nuclear testing of its components, an x-ray radiation laser.

CSO: 3200/1070

STICLEAR TESTING

SOVIET SEISMOLOGISTS CONTEND U.S. CAN CHECK USER NUCLEAR TESTS

LD121327 Moscow TASS in English 2040 GMT 11 Oct 85

[Text] Moscow October 11 TASS--TASS correspondent Boris Chekhonin writes:

The U.S. administration's assertion that the national American means cannot control the observance of the Soviet moratorium on nuclear explosions, is scientifically unsubstantiated. This is the conclusion of the participants in a seminar which has just in [as received] Moscow at the Institute of the Physics of the Earth of the USSR Academy of Sciences. The seminar was attended by prominent Soviet seismologists Igor Nersesov, Vladimir Keilis-Borok, Vladilen Pisarenko, Ivan Pasechnik, Oleg Kedrov; also prominent American seismologist Jack Evernden from the U.S. Geological Service.

Participants in the seminar cited convincing examples showing that it is possible to detect by national means practically all undernuclear [as received] tests, even weak ones with a power of less than one kiloton. That viewpoint was scientifically substantiated at the seminar by Professor Jack Evernden in his report. The new methods, based on the use of high frequencies, he said will enable American specialists to register through the national means even very weak explosions in the Soviet Union.

The USA has powerful technical possibilities of controlling (as received) nuclear explosions in the USSR. The United States has 103 seismic stations in 55 countries. These stations form a global standardized network. Besides, the United States has 20 seismic grouping stations in 12 countries, 17 research observatories in 15 countries. These seismic stations have actually encircled the USSR territory. The United States also makes an active use of seismic and hydro-accountic means located in seas and oceans, and artificial earth satellites for the purpose of control.

The results of the discussion by the participants in the seminar of assertions [as received] of the U.S. administration that it is (?impossible) to detect underground explosions, showed that Washington's statement pursues quite a certain political aim. The U.S. administration seeks to centimue on various pretexts nuclear explosions so as to create even more pernicious [as received] of nuclear weapons of mass destruction which are called in the Pentagon as weapons of a new generation of the end of this century.

MOSCON PAPER CITES FRG EXPERTS: SEISMIC MONITORING RELIABLE

Moscow MUSCOW NEWS in English No 34, 1-8 Sep 85 p 6

[Article by Vladimir Markov]

[Text]

It was something unexpected. As official spokensium for a ruling party in Bonn had protested against the course of his own povernment.

Old Pridings in a Bundreing deputy one of the leading specialists on military political questions within the Free Democratic Party which together with the CDU-CSU forms the condition powerment in spate of piternal party discipline to openly basied the unlighteral morphround introduced by the USSE on nuclear blants describing it as a "banda mentally reported step amond at ending the arms rape". At the space time to reported disappointment over Epstangton 1 reported to the new Special of regulators.

"If a complete and universal han on markey integrate tests a not imposed in the near future then ever more efficient managers arms appears will appear in the world," he said. The continuation of such tests diametrically contradicts the efforts for diagramment."

Still the FRG provinces is continued to the still the FRG provinces in continued to a second disperity of principles on sections blasts. As reversing the post-contain for the blast Calmer decige. It is a province of a complete and astronomy law on a section of a complete and astronomy law one section of a control of a control of a control of the post-contain of control over the post-contain ov

However, quite a few expects in the FBG realize that the public of control in artificially being blown up in the Verl to as to hide its reluctance to stop the arms race.

The wrater increasing correments per new so suphateraried that there is practically to image; any need for on the oper image; time, for example. Professor Pelinar Authoric toad of the Createst feromological Laboratory in Erlanges, one of the leading restauch establishments of on land in the world.

For him at our disposal such precise moltryments," for ups. That they enable us to check so even the mildest succlear black removed even as for as 16,000 km from Estingen French tests at the Maruesa and -VIII.)."

The majority of the world's scentists share the opinion that the morphisms on nuclear blasts can be retuably controlled by agriculal and international means feedenic the are backet. Vice President of the European Physical Society in Earls right when speaking about this emphasized. The reliqual of the US administration to emulgio the USSE's example and in its turn, in majorities tests, in further proof that the US side is banking on beeting up making arms, righter than reducing them."

According to Professor Bucket, Washington also regards the obey of the morphorous on nuclear bijans as an obstacte to implementing President Beggin i strategic defense antisative" (SDR). The SDR provides for using in space K-ray laser devices operating on the energy of nucleur blasts. The Pentagua durant wish to stop nucleur tests precars because it is trying to develop such a space based attack weapon." uses the Brist German accentise.

The new Sever peace outquire meets with the broad approval of not only the FBC screenish, but also among the Boto appointus—the SDPC and the Green party

Here a the opinion of Egin Bale, a recognized expert on questions of control over armaments and disarmament, bead of the relevant Parliamentary subsummittee member of the SDPC Presidum. The unitaries moraturum on nuclear blasts in case the USA responds to it constructively can lead to an agreement of paramount importance. And that could be the beginning of a new stage in fruitful congression and confidence building in relations between the East and West in a whole.

The focusi-Democrats and the Green party intend to puse the question in the Bundestay in September on Board's stand in respect to the moratorium on nuclear blants in a to force the US administration to emulate the Soviet example. This demand will also be the focus poorewhen the West German prace more mean, will be demonstrating this automate.

CENERAL

LPSU DRAFT PARTY PROGRAM: ARMED FORCES, ARMS CONTROL

PM261400 Noscow PRAVDA in Russian 26 Oct 85 First Edition pp 1-7

[Beading and blodface passages as published]

[Excerpts | Proletarians of All Countries Unite!

Draft: CPSU Program (New Edition)

Part Two, The CPSU's Tasks for the Improvement of Socialism and the Gradual Transition to Communism

IV. The Development of Soviet Society's Political System

The CPN regards the defines of the number bandons the companies of the com

From the second street of the design the second street of the second str

The allowing months and the integrationing of the fathers in the bacustot burden and in the E-contiguous Pipers (legislarities on minima building and the E-month E-mon. Pipers in the update in the months and the property is account and behave builders. Assume with a report of deferming it belows and indicated instantial property against temporary around any institutional and improvement and the property around a studies into

Fig. 1 PNI and mass tops offers in amount for the 1 NNI broad Energy at it is more excluding arrange separate to at the part of expension's liveur that the News said of deliver capability is interestinguish account and that the control subdenging of the framework security and that the control safety and a superparent. The EPVE will premiese to show invariable unboard for effecting that the Sovice School Funces combat premius communication of malayers will identify a standard or any and the part of personnel, their invariant informations and international date, and a high level of technical equipment.

The CPSL deems it necessary to commune to strengthen in organizing and directing influence on the life and activity of the Armed Forces, to strengthen the principle of one-man command, to enhance the role and influence of Army and Navy pointcalorgam and party organizations, and to seek to ensure that the minimate [activity] link between the Army and the propie becomes still strenger. Every Communicat and every Soviet personnent do all so his power to maintain the country's defense capability at the due level. The defense of the second humanical services in the region of the second forces are the USSE colors to maintain the country's defense and service in the region of the second forces are the USSE colors to maintain defense to the region of the second forces are the USSE colors to maintain defense and service forces.

PART THREE THE CPSUS FASAS IN THE INTERNA-TIONAL ARENA AND IN THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE AND SOCIAL PROGRESS

The C.PAL is internation at policy stem is from the framework of the socialist socialist which is free from projection and operation and has be classed in our project with the social state and expression the bound project of an appear of creative latter and on a social of the social state and on a social state and on a social state and on the social state

The mass arm and avenues of the CPSL's international policy

to consider the expense conditions for the expensions of the expension and for the advance toward prompt in the LANK to expense the threat of world our and other prompts and discontinuous.

the fractional contraction constitution and promote in every war the observation and progress of the world content of succession.

in developing again friendly religious with the countries which have gained liberatum.

is maintain and develop the USSR sirregision with capitalist states in the basis of prescellal commence and businesslar. Productly advantagement commentations

mercusional analysis with communica and reconsciously democratic parties, the international workers incomment, and the purpose national information strugger

The CPSL is approach to foreign policy problems combines the firm defense of the Soviet people's interests and resource interests and resource interests and resource for disregard and the comprision resources of interestional questions be means of talks.

The street will be a supplied to the street of general and the street of general and the street of t

William But I will I work to brings to

The first product of the product of the forest of the forest of the first product of the firs

A proof from the control of the cont

The Same I have resultant imposes attempts to built and reverse. the many if had-of the best p

The second is the person organized that expression relations for proceed on the channel of personal congestions and equilibrial congestions.

The CPN spines from and constraints to Learning process
of proceeds constraints of states with affected social sections.

The many in recognitions on the CPNL analysis of the sections of the payof from a section of social of the payof from a section of the social of the payof from a section of the social of the payof from a section of the social of the payof the section of the social of the social of the section of the social of the

discharge of communities aroung from the general accept a processes and norms of international law and from international transport that have been constituted.

Such are the fundamental principles upon which the Simon Leave builds in relations with the capitalist states. They are endouned in the USSR Commutation.

The CPSL will purposely by promote the obsquirous form cotabinstruction international relations of the protospic of proceduconsistence on a generally acknowledged norm of internating relations which is observed by all its considers the spread of the desingual contradictions between the two systems to the sphere of these relations to be madelinating.

The purity well strong for the development of the process of the relevance of international tension, regarding it as a natural and necessary stage on the path toward the creation of a comprehensive and reliable system of security. The available experience of cooperation confirms the feasibility of such a prospect. The CPSU favors the creation and use of international mechanisms and institutions which would make it possible to find an optimal contration of stational and state interests with the interests common to all mankind. It favors the enhancement of the role of the United Nations in consolidating peace and in the development of international cooperation.

Special responsibility for the insultant in the world rests with the traction powers. The states powersing nuclear and other weapons of mass destruction must resource their use and threat of their use and refrant from steps leading to an exacerbation of the international situation.

The CPSU favors mercal, stable relations between the Sound I man and the United States, which presuppose continuerference is internal affairs, respect for each other's legislimate interests, the acknowledgment and practical implementation of the prescript of identical occurity, and the establishment of the greatest possible mutual trust on this basis. Differences of social systems and dentings are not a reason for tense relations. Objective preconditions case for the establishment of frustful, mutually advantageous Soviet-U.S. compression it various spheres. It is the CPSU's convection that the policy of both powers must be criented toward mutual judgestanding and not toward enough, which entain the threat of catastrophic consequences not only for the Soviet and American purplies but also for other peoples as well.

The C.PSL is convenced that all states, large and small, regardless of their potential or geographical location and irrespective of which social sistem they belong to, can and must participant in the uses for usuations to acute problems, in the settlement of useful visuations, and is measures to relax tension and curth the arms race.

The CPSL attacks great significance to the form of particular and the form of the control pointing of regimes which came about as a result of World War II is an enginerable condition for the stability of pointer processes in this and in other regions. The CPSL is resolutely appoint to attempts to revise these resilities on any presents whatsoever and will rebuill any manifestations of respection.

The party will consistently strate to emante that the pricess of intengillening security strast and peaceful cooperation in Europe Segun at the Soviet Union's intentive and with its active personaution, develops, deepers, and excomposes the while world. The CPSU advocates the pushing of efforts of all interested states for the purposes of emissing security in Asia, and it advocates a most search by them for a constructive usualistic to this problem. Asia, Africa, Latin America, and the Pacific and Indian Ocean basins use and must become some of peace and good neighboriness.

The CPSI, favors the descingment of broad, long-term, and stable links between states in the uphere of the economy, science, and technology on the basis of full equality and mattacl advantage. Fureign occurrence cooperation in of great political significance, promising the strengthening of peace and of relation of peaceful coexistence among states with different signal systems. The Sawer Union research any farms of discrimination and the over of trading, economic, scientific, and technical relations as a means of exerting pressure, and it will seek to amount the consume occurring of states.

The CPSU is an advanced of the broad mutual exchange of genuine cultural values between all countries. This exchange must serve humane guais the openious enrichment of process and the strengthening of peace and good neighborhoos.

The party and the Soviet state will carpenate with other countries in among global problems, which have become expensive exact critical in the second half of the 20th century and are visibly important for all markind, the preservation of the concisionest size energy, raw materials, fund, and demographic problems the practful development of space and of the waith of the world occasion, the increment of space and of the waith of the world occasion, the incrementing of the optimistic backwardness of many liberated countries, the liquidation of datagerous diseases and we turn. Their unicous requires the junit efforts of all states. It will be substantially facilitated if the squandering of effort and require in the arms race in terminated.

In the moreus of manked and for the good of the present and future generation, the CPSI, and the Series state uphold a broad, community program of managers almost at realing the arms race and verying discrements and at reserving the prace and verying of the purples.

Regarding general and complete discremental under street comprehensive interruptional control as a bistoric task and continuing the struggle for its regligation, the CPSU will commutantly seek

tions expectedly those connected with vergeons of most destruction. Above all, ignore must be totally excluded from this ignitive to ensure that is does not become at arena of military results as a source of death and destruction. The exploration and development of space must be carried out only for practical purposes for the development of science and production in accordance with the needs of all purpose. The USSR (accordingtons of the adultion of this problem and will purpose the conspectable of interrugating comparation of this kind. The Soviet Ussain will also advance the adoption of measures which promising the suspentiferance of nuclear weapons, and the preparation of comes free of these and other means of mass destruction. The implementation of incept leading to the total elements of motion term and including the ending of teets and the production of all types of those economic the removalation to all traces powers of first use of malitant economic and the freezing reduction and description of all propagate of those economic.

The creation of the production and the destruction of other tepes of recipies of man destruction, calculage afternoon recipients, and the production of the attention of new tenes of south recipies.

The reduction of the armed forces of states, and promotely of the permanent psenders of the Security Council and of nation install with them to ministry agreements, the limitative of unrestance arms the analog of the areason of tree ministry attention of the areason of man decirument of the original artists which approximate representative of man decirument of the areason of the

The fearing and reduction of fearing and constants in the constant of constants of

The EPSE is statute in to seeps to increasing the surrice again amountainty processing propagate. The CPSE labours the second-second description of NATO and the Warners Post or and first stage the dominating of their military organizations. For the increase of

The PN and come to the come of the come of

The November 1 and 1 and 1 and 2 and 2 and 3 and

The CPAT understate objects that there is no negative that the force I must would not be proposed to himselve probable on a matual hypowork the applications of officering control.

The E-NAR due to the control of the

CENERAL

USSA: SHEVARDRADEE UN JUBILEE SPEECH, COMBACHEV MESSACE

Shevardnadze Speech

PMC51103 Moscow PRAVDA in Russian 25 Oct 85 First Edition p 4

["IN's Lofty Mission, E. A. Shevardnadze's Speech at the Jubilee Sitting of the 40th Session of the General Assembly"]

[Excerpts]

her Diff. Diller or THAN or Nember of the Polithers to the CPSE Leafer Lowers tee, foreign Minister of the THAN School Shevardhadde made a special below at the outlier meeting of the outstanding of the CPSE Leafers (Secured Assumbly, He tend the Leaf of meeting from General Republic School to Leaf of meeting from General Republic School to Secure the CPSE Leafers (Committee Minhald School to Secure to S

es sala further

Among owners in the capecial of interruptional rips, the will intervence of the initial facilities in a special objection. While once again bringing back the memory of the lituarity and the great variety of matchin, it makes us take A - insect look at the sorter around us and give suspect thousand in what, and how, we can and must do not make this world makes and many useful.

The parallelement of our organization or that to be now folk customs been a market to build a been beauty for some the community being the. From the determine the rest the market to be now and women, and set of the figure that only some of the community being related to the community being the common of the community being related to the community of the figure. This custom extents in name contribute, noticing a great beauty to be notice people traving a steppin truth, namely, that there is a prest beauty now in 11(a)) while people than divide them.

draw decades ago the solid lossingly has the window and the Strength to high a bone of seaso and universal security on the Deposituation of the Design Settons

we are proud that the looket Disks willrely participated in Leving its foundation, proof of the contribution that our state, together with no balket and below possessing truetries, has been making to the sublevement of the noble goals of the United Nations Charter. The inited Nations was built by people who had gime through the unbearable trials of the most brutal war. The present generations, to whom that war has also caused hardship and suffering, have an obligation to hand over to their descendants a world free from the pharges of universal self-destruction. This, as Mishail S. Gorbachev has emphasized, is the overriding mission of the present generations.

Data is precisely bow the Lountries of the socialist Community are acting in the interlational arena. This is evidence by the statement adopted at the meeting of the Political Louncitative Committee of the Marsaw Treaty participants, held recently in Sofia.

On an anniversary day, it is only natural to review some of the results of work done in the past years, what is the main result! The answer is simple and short: There has been a world war over that period. The inited Sations has made its contribution to this, being a world forum of states, a unique organization that become an indispensable part of untemporary international relations. Whatever is being said, sometimes not without justification, about the shortcomings, failures, and unfulfilled hopes, it is an indisputable fact that the prestige and reputation of the United Sations are great and universally recognized. It is hard for anyone to be alone. This is also true of nations. In the word which is both united and divided, entire mations need support and price time.

The inited Nations is the place where they can hope to receive both.

So historic date is merely an occasion to look at the past. And the best way to observe the anniversary is in focus on the unresolved problems. Let us direct our collective attention in the problems which, regrettably, do not allow us to say that all requirements and principles of the United Nations Charter are being implemented completely and everywhere.

The nain problem, the problem of guaranteed peace for the present and future generations, is still with us. Indeed, it stands out more sharply than ever before. Today our planet to beset with troubles and anxieties. But the heaviest burden on mankini's shoulders is the arms race which is inemorably bringing us closer to the edge of an abyse. It is our turn to stop and them, in reverse it, to prevent it from apreading to space.

The Soviet Union has countered the concept of "star wars" with the concept of "star peace" and a lasting peace on earth. Recently, Mishail Gorbachev laid out the Soviet program of resolute steps aimed at curping the arms race and improving the overall international estuation.

The Seriet Union to proposing a world without weapons in space.

The limited linion is proposing a world where nuclear arms would be radically reduced and then, eliminated altogether.

The Seviet Union is proposing a world wherethe USSN and the United States would set an example for other nuclear powers by stopping any nuclear explosions.

The Similer Union is proposing a world where the USSR and the United States would renounce the development of new modean weapons, freeze their arsenals, and bun and destroy antisatellite systems.

We have proposed a far-reaching solution regarding medium-range nuclear weapons in Europe and have removed from operational duty some of our medium-range missiles in the European

some. If an appropriate agreement is reached, we shall not increase the number of such missiles in the Asian part of our country as well, provided there is no substantial thange in the strategic situation [1889].

We say that radical steps in the field of nuclear disarrament should be combined with a wide-ranging set of unstrate heasures aimed at easing military tensions and building confidence.

All this should be done under strict and reliable control.

Where verification with national technical means hav be inadequate to provide the necessary degree of unrillered, we are reach to supplement it with additional mutually agreed procedures.

We state this very emphatically, because there are those who would like to make world public opinion believe the Soviet Inion is against verification.

This is being said by those was, write funtemplating new technologically sophisticated types of weapons, are deliberated associating the truth that the more weapons there are in the world, the nore sifficult at is to carry out verification; this is being said by those who are developing new seasons, designed from the very beginning to make verification more difficult. We are then in return: Are you ready, as we are, to scrap hundreds of missiles and sircraft, thousands of muclear charges?

Answer "yes" and we shall certainly be able to agree on verification.

It is no less important to enter or the treaties already signed, by, to use the language of the U.S. Charter, to respect them, which means it is inadmissible to interpret them in a unilateral and arbitrar names. The tannet, for example, interpret the treaty on the Limitation of Antiballiani Missile Systems as permitting the development of a large-scale ABN system, a spice-resed on at that. In this connection, I will quote the full text of Article of this limit. That party undertakes not to develop, test of deploy ABN systems of any are un-hased, air-based, space-based or mobile land-based."

What could be unclear about this' And what is there to interpret?

This is what people all over the world are easerly waiting for.

But index, nor one has the right is simply wait without taking concrete steps. Each state, and bur brianization as a whole, was and must contribute to ensuring a stable and burable peace, so as is open to the perples of the world bright prospects of life in the third millennium. As Yikhail Certainer has said: "International developments have approached a line which takent we in said unless highly responsible decisions, dissed at setting a limit to the arms race and stopping the alide toward war, are taken.

These decisions cannot be postposed without taking the risk of losing control over dangerous processes that threaten the very existence of manking.

Yes, so far we have succeeded in saving the world true the sountge of a "big" war, while is in itself a great accomplishment. But, can this alleviate the suffering transmit the peoples by so-called small wars. Indeed, the suffering them inflict upon the people and countries are not small but enumous; they bring them unteld were and three them dozens of years back in social and commit development. This can be seen in Tietran where neither the people not the environment have been able to even how to recover from the consequences of the barbarous aggression which mained the entire country with maps and chemical agents.

This can also be seen in the Midele East and in southern Africa, where Israeli and south African terror has become a harsh everyday reality for several generations.

This can be witnessed in Afghanistan and Nicaragus where the buildts of hired assausius, the dushmans and the "contras", are milling thousands of people.

The right to arcurity is a universal right. The Seviet Color does not dissociate its security from that of other states. We are resolut by in favor of emouring pools for all notions, as required by the United Nations Charter. This underlies all our efforts aimed at preventing and stopping armed conflicts in various regions of the world and at relabing a just settlement of explosive situations. As the United Nations enters its fifth decade, it should, in our view, mass this propiem was of its first priorities.

Security has many dimensions. Aggression, state terrorism, and demonstrations of for each just some of the sources of danger that threaten people, their freedom, their yights, and their human dignity. There is a direct line between a state's external militarism and its internal moral atmosphere. The full of superivrity and brute force, immenting entity and hatred towards other peoples, and organized fine inevitable trample the social and economic rights of human beings and their personal security and freedom.

Frequently, I will not be mistaken if I say that everyone present in this hall is asking himself what his country has brought to this forum, and as we come to this rootrum, we are questioning ourselves: Now clear is our communitence in the even of the international community and of our own countries?

Once again, the Soviet Union has some to this mession but empty-handed and with a clear conscience. The country and the people which suffered so much from war that even today, 40 years later, its wounds still burt, are proposing a large-scale program of constructive and realistic measures which can minimize the risk of a global catastrophe. All our appirations are oriented toward the future but, for the sake of that future, the risk must be eliminated today. Time does not stand still, and, in the words of Albert Einstein, soon enough the future comes by itself.

The history of our organization has endowed us with a princiens heritage we all share;

It is the new reality which is reflected in the fact that today the tone in the inited Nations is set not by a group of states, but by the majority whose will can no longer be subordinated to anyone's divist.

It is the collective window that can guard us against unbalanced judgements, fatal mistakes, and ill-considered decisions.

It is the well-established institutions for communication among 159 states. And the nere fact that being so different, so unlike one another, the states are nonetheless able to find -- though not on every occasion -- a common language and jointly formulate high moral criteria, is another tremendous asset for our organization.

Finally, it is an experience that has generally positive impact on the process of solving the problems faced by the United Sations and the world.

This, for us, is a source of optimism, which mankind needs so much today, and the reason to believe in its sound judgement and its future. [applause]

Corbechev Nessage

PM251111 Moscov PRAVDA in Russian 25 Oct 85 First Edition p 1

[Text] To J. Perez de Cuellar, UN Secretary General, and to the participants in the UN General Assembly jubilee session to mark the 40th anniversary of the United Nations.

Esteemed Mr Secretary-General,

I greet you and all attendees at the UN General Assembly's anniversary meeting to mark the 40th anniversary of the United Nations Organization.

The United Nations owes its birth to the victory of freedom-loving peoples over fascism and militarism. It is only natural that the UN Charter proclaims in its very first lines the United Nations' determination to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war, practice tolerance, and live together in peace with one another as good neighbors.

The UN Charter which became valid on October 24, 1945, the date recognized as the UN birthday, has stood the test of time and the organization itself has become an important factor in the system of international relations. It has also made a contribution to the fact that mankind has been able to avoid another world war for the past 40 years.

But today it is more essentia! than ever to say openly and at the top of one's voice that the foremost task set by the UN Charter has still not been resolved: Guarantees of lasting peace have not been created. Today the joint efforts of states and peoples are needed more than ever to deliver humanity from the threat of a nuclear catastrophe.

What is needed, above all, for the purpose, in practical terms, is to put an end to the arms race on earth and keep it out of space.

What is also required is fresh efforts to quench the regional seats of tension and remove the vestiges of colonialism in all its manifestations.

The United Nations also has many other pressing tasks: to facilitate, through real disarmament measures, the release of recources for constructive aims and to overcome backwardness, hunger, disease, and poverty. These goals should also be served by rebuilding international economic relations on a just and democratic basis and ensuring genuine human rights and liberties, most notably the right to a peaceful life.

We speak about all this because we are deeply convinced that, with the organization's 40th anniversary being an event as important as it is, the prime attention of its member states should be directed to making UN activities still more effective and fruitful.

The Soviet Union, one of the founders of the United Nations and a permanent member of its Security Council, will take every effort, as before, to facilitate a successful fulfillment by this world organization of its lofty mission on the basis of strict compliance with its charter.

M. Gorbachev

CENTRAL

BRIEFS

'FORMAL' SOVIET ARMS PROPOSAL -- Britain has now received a formal proposal from the Seviet Union for direct talks on nuclear weapons. Downing Street aides confirmed today that a letter from Soviet leader Mr Mikhail Gorbachev had been delivered to the prime minister. Officials declined to go into details of the proposal other than to say that it was along the lines of Corbachev's speech in Paris two weeks ago, when he said the Soviets would like direct talks with Britain and France on their nuclear weapons. President Mitterrand has already turned down the suggestion. At the time the Soviet Enbasey in London delivered an extract from the speech to the Foreign Office but made clear that this did not grount to a formal proposal. Whitehall officials said today that the latest message which was headed over by an official of the Soviet Embassy to Hr Dorek Thomas, political director at the Foreign Office emounted to a formal proposal. Mrs Thatcher is unlikely to reply until she returns to Lendon at the end of the next week following her neeting in New York with President Bosom and other major leaders. [Text] [London PRESS ASSOCIATION in English 1051 Off 17 Oct 85 LD]

CSO: \$240/004

END

END OF FICHE DATE FILMED 14 NOV 85